

games. Equating these expressions for the total number of games yields

$$1 + t + t^2 + \dots + t^{n-1} = \frac{t^n - 1}{t - 1}. \quad (1)$$

Although we derived (1) by considering only integral $t > 1$ and positive integral n , it forms an algebraic identity valid for all complex $t \neq 1$. Replacing n with $n + 1$ gives the more standard form

$$1 + t + t^2 + \dots + t^n = \frac{t^{n+1} - 1}{t - 1},$$

valid for all whole numbers n .

Weighted Means of Order r and Related Inequalities: An Elementary Approach

François Dubeau, Collège militaire royal de Saint-Jean, Saint-Jean-sur-Richelieu, Québec, Canada, J0J 1R0

The aim of this note is to present the properties of weighted means of order r using elementary techniques of analysis. In particular the increasing property of the weighted mean of order r , as a function of r , is proved using a more elementary technique than the standard proof.

Let r be any nonzero real number and let us consider the function $\phi(x) = x^r$ defined on the interval $I = (0, +\infty)$. Using the mean value theorem, for any fixed strictly positive real number a , we have

$$x^r = a^r + r\xi_a^{r-1}(x - a) \quad (1)$$

where ξ_a is between x and a . It follows that $\phi(x) = x^r$ is strictly increasing (decreasing) if $r > 0$ ($r < 0$).

Using the Taylor expansion of order 1, we have

$$x^r = a^r + ra^{r-1}(x - a) + r(r - 1)\xi_a^{r-2} \frac{(x - a)^2}{2} \quad (2)$$

where ξ_a is between x and a . Set $x = x_i > 0$ in (2), multiply by $\alpha_i > 0$ and sum for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Without loss of generality let us assume that $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$ and let us use the notation $\Sigma\beta_i$ for $\sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i$. Set $a = \Sigma\alpha_i x_i > 0$ in (2). It follows that

$$\sum \alpha_i x_i^r \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \geq \\ \leq \end{array} \right\} \left(\sum \alpha_i x_i \right)^r \quad \text{for } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} r < 0 \quad \text{or} \quad r > 1, \\ 0 < r < 1. \end{array} \right. \quad (3)$$

Equality holds in (3) iff the x_i 's are all equal. Relation (3) shows that $\phi(x) = x^r$ is strictly convex (concave) for $r < 0$ or $r > 1$ ($0 < r < 1$).

Using the increasing or decreasing property of $\phi(x) = x^r$ we obtain from (3)

$$\sum \alpha_i x_i \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \leq \\ \geq \end{array} \right\} \left(\sum \alpha_i x_i^r \right)^{1/r} \quad \text{for } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} r > 1, \\ r < 1, \quad r \neq 0, \end{array} \right. \quad (4)$$

with equality iff the x_i 's are all equal.

The relation (4) contains several well known inequalities.

Example 1. Set $\alpha_i = 1/n$. If $r = 2$ we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum x_i \leq \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum x_i^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

which is the arithmetic mean-root mean square inequality. If $r = -1$ then $(1/n)\sum x_i \geq ((1/n)\sum 1/x_i)^{-1}$ which is the harmonic mean-arithmetic mean inequality.

Example 2. Let $r \neq 0$ and s be such that $1/r + 1/s = 1$. Let $\eta_i > 0$ and $\xi_i > 0$, and set $\alpha_i = \eta_i^s / (\sum \eta_i^s)$ and $x_i = \xi_i / \eta_i^{s/r}$. It follows that

$$\sum \xi_i \eta_i \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \leq \\ \geq \end{array} \right\} (\sum \xi_i^r)^{1/r} (\sum \eta_i^s)^{1/s} \quad \text{for } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} r > 1, \\ r < 1, \end{array} \right. \quad r \neq 0,$$

which is the classical inequality of Hölder. For $r = s = 2$ we obtain the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

Let $r \neq 0$ and consider $M_r(x, \alpha) = (\sum \alpha_i x_i^r)^{1/r}$ as a function of r . Let us prove two properties of this function.

Property 1. $M_r(x, \alpha)$ is a strictly increasing function with respect to r iff the x_i 's are not all equal.

Proof. Consider $\xi_i > 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) and assume $s < r$. If $r > 0$ then $s/r < 1$ and from (4) we have

$$\sum \alpha_i \xi_i \geq \left(\sum \alpha_i \xi_i^{s/r} \right)^{r/s}.$$

If $r < 0$ then $1 < s/r$ and again from (4) we have

$$\sum \alpha_i \xi_i \leq \left(\sum \alpha_i \xi_i^{s/r} \right)^{r/s}.$$

Set $\xi_i = x_i^r$ and consider the r th root. We obtain

$$\left(\sum \alpha_i x_i^s \right)^{1/s} < \left(\sum \alpha_i x_i^r \right)^{1/r}. \quad \square$$

Remark 1. The standard proof of this result presented by Cooper [2], and that we can also find in [1, pp. 16–18] or [3, pp. 76–77], is based on the convexity of $x \log x$ or the log-convexity of x^x .

Let us use the notation $\underline{x} = \min\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n\} \leq \max\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n\} = \bar{x}$.

Property 2. The following limits hold for $M_r(x, \alpha)$:

(i) $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} M_r(x, \alpha) = \bar{x}$

(ii) $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} M_r(x, \alpha) = \prod x_i^{\alpha_i}$,

(iii) $\lim_{r \rightarrow -\infty} M_r(x, \alpha) = \underline{x}$.

Proof of (i). For any $r > 0$ we have $\underline{\alpha}^{1/r} \bar{x} \leq M_r(x, \alpha) \leq \bar{x}$ and the result follows from $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \underline{\alpha}^{1/r} = 1$.

Proof of (ii). We have $M_r(x, \alpha) = \exp((1/r) \log(\sum \alpha_i x_i^r))$ and using the mean value theorem we have

$$\log(\sum \alpha_i x_i^r) = r \frac{\sum \alpha_i x_i^\rho \log x_i}{\sum \alpha_i x_i^\rho}$$

where ρ is strictly between 0 and r . When $r \rightarrow 0$ then $\rho \rightarrow 0$ and the result follows (we can also prove this result using l'Hospital's rule [1, p. 16]).

Proof of (iii). For $r < 0$ we have $M_r(x, \alpha) = 1/M_{-r}(1/x, \alpha)$ and the result follows from (i). \square

In summary we have for $s < 0 < r$

$$\underline{x} \leq \left(\sum \alpha_i x_i^s \right)^{1/s} \leq \prod x_i^{\alpha_i} \leq \left(\sum \alpha_i x_i^r \right)^{1/r} \leq \bar{x} \quad (5)$$

with equalities iff the x_i 's are all equal.

Example 3. For $r = 1$ and $\alpha_i = 1/n$ we obtain $(\prod x_i)^{1/n} \leq (1/n) \sum x_i$ which is the arithmetic mean-geometric mean inequality.

Finally, inequalities (5) can be extended to integrable functions. For example, consider a Riemann integrable function $f(x)$ defined on $[a, b]$ and such that $0 < m \leq f(x) \leq M < +\infty$. If we set $\alpha_i = 1/n$ and $x_i = f(\xi_i)$, where $a + (i-1)((b-a)/n) \leq \xi_i \leq a + i((b-a)/n)$, and consider the limit when n goes to $+\infty$ we obtain for $s < 0 < r$

$$m \leq \left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f^s(x) dx \right)^{1/s} \leq e^{(1/b-a) \int_a^b \log f(x) dx} \leq \left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f^r(x) dx \right)^{1/r} \leq M.$$

We can obtain the same result for a Lebesgue integrable function.

References

1. Beckenbach, E. F. and Bellman R., *Inequalities*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1965.
2. Cooper, R., Notes on certain inequalities: II, *Journal of the London Mathematical Society* 2 (1927) 159-163.
3. Mitrinovic, D. S., *Analytic Inequalities*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1970.

In My Experience...

Experience is the name everyone gives to their mistakes.

Oscar Wilde, *The Oxford Dictionary of Quotations*, Third Ed., Oxford University Press, N.Y., 1979, p. 573.