Example. An illustration with three students and two assignments.

$$\begin{array}{l} P_{1}^{1} = \emptyset \\ P_{2}^{1} = \{4\} \\ P_{3}^{1} = \{5,7\} \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} A_{1}^{1} = \emptyset \\ \Rightarrow A_{2}^{1} = \{\emptyset\} \\ A_{3}^{1} = \{\{4\},\emptyset\} \end{array} \\ P_{1}^{2} = \emptyset \\ P_{2}^{2} = \emptyset \\ P_{2}^{2} = \{3,9\} \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} A_{1}^{2} = \{\emptyset\} \\ \Rightarrow A_{2}^{2} = \{\emptyset\} \\ A_{3}^{2} = \{\emptyset,\emptyset\} = \{\emptyset\} \end{array} \\ \Rightarrow A_{2}^{2} = \{\emptyset\} \\ A_{3}^{2} = \{\emptyset,\emptyset\} = \{\emptyset\} \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} S_{1}^{1} = \{A_{1}^{1}\} = \{\emptyset\} \\ S_{2}^{1} = \{A_{2}^{1}\} = \{\{\emptyset\}\} \\ S_{3}^{1} = \{A_{1}^{1}\} = \{\{\emptyset\}\} \\ S_{3}^{1} = \{A_{1}^{1}\} = \{\{\emptyset\}\} \\ S_{3}^{2} = \{A_{1}^{1},A_{1}^{2}\} = \{\emptyset,\{\emptyset\}\} = \{\{\emptyset\}\} \\ S_{2}^{2} = \{A_{2}^{1},A_{2}^{2}\} = \{\{\emptyset\},\{\emptyset\}\} = \{\{\emptyset\}\} \end{cases}$$

If the professor compiles the set $T^k = \{S_x^k \mid x \text{ is a student in the class}\}$, what can $T^k = \{\{\{\emptyset\}\}\}$ possibly mean? For a class of three or more students, I maintain that each week at most one student failed to have a perfect paper. Can you explain why?

Can you invent a set that might be $\{\{\{\{\emptyset\}\}\}\}\}$ or $\{\{\{\{\emptyset\}\}\}\}\}$? What if the professor has several classes, and the department has several professors?

Divergence of Series by Rearrangement

Bernard August (mollbern@hotmail.com) and Thomas J. Osler (osler@rowan.edu), Rowan University, Glassboro, NJ 08028

In [1], Michael Ecker proved the divergence of the harmonic series by a novel method, which we will call *divergence by rearrangement*. The main idea was this.

It is known that a convergent series of positive terms can be rearranged in any way, and the sum remains the same. Suppose we are given a series of positive terms and we *assume it converges*, say to the value S. If upon rearranging the terms we obtain the new sum S' and are able to deduce the contradiction $S' \neq S$, then the series must be divergent.

In this capsule, we use this method to prove the divergence of the series

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n^{r_1} + a_1)^{p_1} (n^{r_2} + a_2)^{p_2} \cdots (n^{r_m} + a_m)^{p_m}},\tag{1}$$

where all the a_k are nonnegative and all the r_k and p_k are positive with

$$r_1 p_1 + r_2 p_2 + \cdots + r_m p_m < 1.$$

Note that special cases of (1) include the harmonic series, the p series $\sum \frac{1}{n^p}$ for $0 , and such series as <math>\sum \frac{1}{\sqrt{n(n+1)}}$ and $\sum \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{n^2+1}\sqrt[3]{n+2}}$.

To simplify the exposition, we consider the case in which only two factors appear. The reader will have no difficulty seeing how to generalize this proof to include m factors in series (1).

Theorem. Let a and b be nonnegative, and let r, s, p, q be positive with $rp + sq \le 1$. Then the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n^r + a)^p (n^s + b)^q}$$
 (2)

diverges.

Proof. Assume that the series converges to the value S. Then $S = S_{\text{odd}} + S_{\text{even}}$, where

$$S = \frac{1}{(1^r + a)^p (1^s + b)^q} + \frac{1}{(2^r + a)^p (2^s + b)^q} + \frac{1}{(3^r + a)^p (3^s + b)^q} + \cdots,$$

$$S_{\text{odd}} = \frac{1}{(1^r + a)^p (1^s + b)^q} + \frac{1}{(3^r + a)^p (3^s + b)^q} + \frac{1}{(5^r + a)^p (5^s + b)^q} + \cdots,$$

$$S_{\text{even}} = \frac{1}{(2^r + a)^p (2^s + b)^q} + \frac{1}{(4^r + a)^p (4^s + b)^q} + \frac{1}{(6^r + a)^p (6^s + b)^q} + \cdots.$$

It is clear by comparing corresponding terms that

$$S_{\text{odd}} > S_{\text{even}}.$$
 (3)

Observe also that

$$S_{\text{even}} = \frac{1}{2^{rp+sq}} \text{ times}$$

$$\left[\frac{1}{\left(1^r + \frac{a}{2^r}\right)^p \left(1^s + \frac{b}{2^s}\right)^q} + \frac{1}{\left(2^r + \frac{a}{2^r}\right)^p \left(2^s + \frac{b}{2^s}\right)^q} + \frac{1}{\left(3^r + \frac{a}{2^r}\right)^p \left(3^s + \frac{b}{2^s}\right)^q} + \cdots \right]$$

Let S^* denote the series above in square brackets. Thus,

$$S_{\text{even}} = \frac{1}{2^{rp+sq}} S^*.$$

Since $rp + sq \le 1$, we have $\frac{1}{2^{rp+sq}} \ge \frac{1}{2}$. So

$$S_{\text{even}} \ge \frac{1}{2} S^*. \tag{4}$$

Comparing S^* with S term by term, we have $S^* \geq S$. Therefore,

$$S_{\text{even}} \ge \frac{1}{2} S^* \ge \frac{1}{2} S. \tag{5}$$

From (3) and (5), we now have $S = S_{\text{odd}} + S_{\text{even}} > S_{\text{even}} + S_{\text{even}} \ge S$. Thus, we arrive at the contradiction S > S and thereby prove the theorem.

Reference

 Michael W. Ecker, Divergence of the harmonic series by rearrangement, The College Mathematics Journal 28 (1997) 209–210.

----o---