

# Euler-Cauchy Using Undetermined Coefficients

Doreen De Leon

*Department of Mathematics, California State University, Fresno  
Fresno, CA 93740-8001*

The Euler-Cauchy equation is often one of the first higher order differential equations with *variable* coefficients introduced in an undergraduate differential equations course. Putting a nonhomogeneous Euler-Cauchy equation on an exam in such a course, I was surprised when some of my students decided to apply the method of undetermined coefficients, which is guaranteed to work only for constant-coefficient equations, and obtained the correct answer! It turns out that we *can* find a particular solution to this equation using a substitution similar to the standard method of undetermined coefficients, if the right-hand side function is of a certain type, *without* using variation of parameters or transforming the equation to a constant-coefficient equation and then applying undetermined coefficients.

Such a solution is possible because of the fact, mentioned in many differential equations textbooks, that the Euler-Cauchy equation may be transformed by a change of variables into a constant-coefficient equation by simply defining  $t = e^z$ , if we assume  $t > 0$ . Thus, if the right-hand side function  $f(t)$  is a monomial, then  $f(e^z)$  is an exponential function; or if the right-hand side function  $f(t)$  is the product of a monomial and a nonnegative integer power of  $\ln(t)$ , then  $f(e^z)$  is the product of a monomial and an exponential function. And, since the new equation is a constant-coefficient equation, the method of undetermined coefficients can be applied, prescribing a solution that is an exponential function, in the first case, and the product of a polynomial and an exponential function in the second case. This leads to a method of undetermined coefficients for the original equation.

First, consider the second order Euler-Cauchy equation with a monomial right-hand side function,

$$t^2 y'' + aty' + by = At^\alpha, t > 0. \quad (1)$$

If we suppose that  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  is not a root of the characteristic equation, then the above discussion indicates that we should try as our particular solution  $y_p = Ct^\alpha$ . Plugging  $y_p$  into (??) gives

$$(\alpha(\alpha - 1) + a\alpha + b)Ct^\alpha = At^\alpha.$$

Since we have assumed that  $t > 0$  and  $\alpha$  is not a root of the characteristic equation, we can solve directly for  $C$ .

But, what if  $\alpha$  is, in fact, a root of the characteristic equation? As mentioned above, the Euler-Cauchy equation can be transformed into a constant-coefficient equation by means of the transformation  $t = e^z$ . This means that our first guess for the particular solution would be  $y_p(z) = Ce^{\alpha z}$ . But, since  $\alpha$  is a root of the characteristic equation, we need to multiply by  $z$

until  $y_p(z)$  is no longer a solution to the complementary equation. Multiplication by  $z$  in the guess for the particular solution for the transformed equation translates into multiplication by  $\ln(t)$  in the particular solution for (??), suggesting a particular solution of the form of a constant multiple of  $t^\alpha$  and a power of  $\ln(t)$ . We can verify by direct substitution that this is the correct form of the solution.

These ideas are summarized in the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *For the second order Euler-Cauchy problem,*

$$t^2 y'' + aty' + by = At^\alpha, t > 0,$$

where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , a particular solution is of the form

- (i)  $y_p(t) = Ct^\alpha$ , provided that  $\alpha$  is not equal to any root of the characteristic equation, or
- (ii)  $y_p(t) = Ct^\alpha(\ln(t))^i$ , if  $\alpha$  is equal to a root of the characteristic equation, where  $i$  is the multiplicity of the root.

For the more complicated equation

$$t^2 y'' + aty' + by = At^\alpha(\ln(t))^n, t > 0, \tag{2}$$

where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $n$  is a nonnegative integer, a similar analysis leads to the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** *For the second order Euler-Cauchy problem,*

$$t^2 y'' + aty' + by = At^\alpha(\ln(t))^n, t > 0,$$

where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $n$  is a nonnegative integer, a particular solution is of the form

$$y_p(t) = (C_0 + C_1 \ln(t) + \dots + C_n(\ln(t))^n) t^\alpha.$$

In fact, the above method will lead to a solution using undetermined coefficients for the following types of functions, as well:

- (1)  $A \cos(k \ln t)$  or  $A \sin(k \ln t)$ ,
- (2)  $At^\alpha \cos(k \ln t)$  or  $At^\alpha \sin(k \ln t)$ , and
- (3)  $At^\alpha(\ln(t))^n \cos(k \ln t)$  or  $At^\alpha(\ln(t))^n \sin(k \ln t)$ .

You should, of course, verify this.

By the principle of superposition, the above results can be applied to Euler-Cauchy equations whose right-hand sides are sums of such functions, simply by applying the appropriate result to each term on the right-hand side. Here is an example.

**Example:** Find a general solution of  $t^2 y'' - 4ty' + 4y = 4t^2(\ln(t))^2 - t, t > 0$ .

- Complementary solution: Solve  $t^2 y'' - 4ty' + 4y = 0$  to obtain  $y_c = c_1 t + c_2 t^4$ .

- Particular solution: Find a solution of  $t^2y'' - 4ty' + 4y = 4t^2(\ln(t))^2 - t$ .

The particular solution takes the form  $y_p = y_{p1} + y_{p2}$ . Since the first function is  $4t^2(\ln(t))^2$ , by Theorem ?? the first component of  $y_p$ ,  $y_{p1}$ , is  $(A + B(\ln(t)) + C(\ln(t))^2) t^2$ . The particular solution corresponding to the second function,  $t$ , is determined using Theorem ?. Since 1 is a simple root of the characteristic equation, the second component of  $y_p$ ,  $y_{p2}$ , is  $Dt \ln(t)$ . So,  $y_p = (A + B(\ln(t)) + C(\ln(t))^2) t^2 + Dt \ln(t)$ . Plug  $y_p$  into the differential equation, collect terms, and equate coefficients to obtain  $A = -3, B = 2, C = -2$ , and  $D = \frac{1}{3}$ , so

$$y_p = (-3 + 2 \ln(t) - 2(\ln(t))^2) t^2 + \frac{1}{3} t \ln(t).$$

General solution:  $y = y_c + y_p$ , so

$$y(t) = c_1 t + c_2 t^4 + (-3 + 2 \ln(t) - 2(\ln(t))^2) t^2 + \frac{1}{3} t \ln(t).$$

It is straightforward to generalize the approach described in this paper to higher order Euler-Cauchy equations.

**Acknowledgements.** The author gratefully acknowledges the assistance of the editors in revising this manuscript.