

Euler's Identity, Leibniz Tables, and the Irrationality of Pi with Endnotes

Timothy W. Jones

Paul Nahin's recent book, *Dr. Euler's Fabulous Formula* [10] celebrates the identity $e^{\pi i} + 1 = 0$ and in it he gives an Euler's identity-based proof of the irrationality of π using techniques of Legendre [8] from 1808. Here we give another, shorter proof using Euler's identity. Ours requires only basic calculus and the evaluation of the sum of the derivatives of a polynomial. The latter task is aided by a device we call a Leibniz table [6].

The idea¹

Imagine that we have a complex polynomial $F_p(z)$ of degree a function of p , p a prime, and such that $F_p(0) + F_p(\pi i)$ is a *non-zero* Gaussian integer (a number of the form $x + iy$ with x and y integers) divisible by $(p - 1)!$. We will show that

$$e^{\pi i} F_p(0) = F_p(\pi i) + \epsilon(p), \tag{1}$$

where

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\epsilon(p)}{p!} = 0. \tag{2}$$

Adding $F_p(0)$ to both sides of (1), and using Euler's identity,

$$0 = F_p(0)(e^{\pi i} + 1) = F_p(0) + F_p(\pi i) + \epsilon(p), \tag{3}$$

hence

$$0 = \frac{F_p(0) + F_p(\pi i)}{(p - 1)!} + \frac{\epsilon(p)}{(p - 1)!}. \tag{4}$$

Now the right hand side of (4) can *not* be zero for sufficiently large p . This contradiction is the idea of the proof.

Some details

Suppose, contrary to what we want to prove, that $\pi = m/n$ where $m > n > 0$ are natural numbers. We assume, for the time being, that the sum $F_p(z)$ of the derivatives of

$$f_p(z) = z^{p-1}(nz - mi)^p,$$

where p is a prime greater than m , is such that $F_p(0) + F_p(\pi i)$ is a non-zero Gaussian integer. We establish next the right hand side of (3).

Differentiation² of $e^{-z}F_p(z)$ gives

$$\frac{d}{dz} (e^{-z}F_p(z)) = -e^{-z}F_p(z) + e^{-z}F_p'(z) = -e^{-z}f_p(z),$$

since $F_p(z) - F_p'(z) = f_p(z)$.³ Integrating along a suitable path from 0 to πi , we have

$$\int_0^{\pi i} \frac{d}{dz} (e^{-z}F_p(z)) = (e^{-z}F_p(z)) \Big|_0^{\pi i} = - \int_0^{\pi i} e^{-z}f_p(z) dz.$$

This gives

$$e^{-\pi i}F_p(\pi i) - F_p(0) = - \int_0^{\pi i} e^{-z}f_p(z) dz,$$

and, using Euler's identity,

$$0 = F_p(0) + F_p(\pi i) - \int_0^{\pi i} e^{-z}f_p(z) dz,$$

which is (3) with

$$\epsilon(p) = \int_0^{\pi i} e^{-z}f_p(z) dz.$$

Now the absolute value of this integral is bounded by the absolute value of the integrand on the path of integration times the length of the path [12, p. 199]. We can use the product of upper bounds for the absolute values of each of e^{-z} , z^{p-1} and $(nz - mi)^p$ to construct this upper bound. The first is bounded by a constant R , the second by m^{p-1} , and the third by $(2m)^p$ – recall that $m > n > 0$. An upper bound is given, then, by $R(2m)^{2p-1}$, an exponential. The length of the path of integration is a constant. Thus $\epsilon(p)$ has an exponential upper bound. Dividing by $(p-1)!$ and knowing that factorial growth beats exponential⁴, (2) is established.

	z^4	$4z^3$	$4 \cdot 3z^2$	$4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2z$	$4!$
$(nz - mi)^5$	1	1	1	1	1
$5 \cdot n(nz - mi)^4$	1	2	3	4	5
$5 \cdot 4 \cdot n^2(nz - mi)^3$	1	3	6	10	15
$5 \cdot 4 \cdot 3n^3(nz - mi)^2$	1	4	10	20	35
$5 \cdot 4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2n^4(nz - mi)$	1	5	15	35	70
$5! n^5$	1	6	21	56	126

Table 1: The Leibniz table for $z^4(nz - mi)^5$

Leibniz tables

At this juncture, all that remains is to show that $F_p(0) + F_p(\pi i)$ is a non-zero Gaussian integer divisible by $(p - 1)!$. We prove this for $p = 5$ using an argument that applies to the general case.

If you were asked to sum the derivatives of $f_5(z) = z^4(nz - mi)^5$, one approach would be to expand this complex polynomial, calculate each derivative, one after the other, and then add them up. A potentially quicker method is to use Leibniz' formula,⁵ which gives the n th derivative of a product: if $f(z) = g(z)h(z)$, then

$$f^{(n)}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} g^{(k)}(z) h^{(n-k)}(z).$$

We need to sum these n th derivatives, so an additional summation is necessary,

$$F_5(z) = \sum_{j=0}^9 \sum_{k=0}^j \binom{j}{k} [z^4]^{(k)} [(nz - mi)^5]^{(j-k)}.$$

Now we only need take derivatives of each of the multiplicands z^4 and $(nz - mi)^5$, but still must generate the binomial coefficients and organize the derivatives to reference them many times.

A table provides a way to accomplish both tasks. In Table 1, the derivatives of z^4 are listed along the top row; the derivatives of $(nz - mi)^5$ are along the left column; and the binomial coefficients are the interior cells. Notice how the derivatives build factorial expressions and taper down to a constant. The binomial coefficients are formed exactly as in Pascal's triangle.

Once the Leibniz table is complete, the zero through ninth derivatives of the product can be read from the diagonals, starting with the upper left zeroth derivative $1(z^4)((nz - mi)^5)$ and finishing with the ninth, $126(4!)(5!n^5)$ in the lower right. Further examples are the first derivative

$$1(z^4)(5n(nz - mi)^4) + 1(4z^3)((nz - mi)^5)$$

and the eighth

$$56(24z)(5!n^5) + 70(4!)(120n^4(nz - mi)).$$

Properties of $F_5(0) + F_5(mi/n)$

The evaluation $F_5(0)$ and $F_5(mi/n)$ is aided by Table 1. For $F(0)$, set $z = 0$ and only the right column is non-zero. This shows $F(0)$ equals

$$4!(-mi)^5 + 5!x_1(-mi)^4 + 5!x_2(-mi)^3 + 5!x_3(-mi)^2 + 5!x_4(-mi) + 5!x_5,$$

where each x_k is an integer. For $F_5(mi/n)$, set $z = mi/n$ and only the last row is non-zero. This shows $F_5(mi/n)$ is

$$5!n^5 \left(\frac{(mi)^4}{n^4} + y_1 \frac{(mi)^3}{n^3} + y_2 \frac{(mi)^2}{n^2} + y_3 \frac{(mi)}{n} + y_4 \right),$$

where each y_k is again an integer.

Clearly $F_5(0) + F_5(mi/n)$ is of the form $a + bi$ where a and b are integers; the odd powers of $(\pm mi)$ give the complex part of this number, and the even the real. A $4!$ can be factored out, so $(a + bi)/4! = c + di$ with c and d integers. Assuming 5 does not divide m , we can conclude that 5 does not divide d and hence d can't be zero, so $c + di \neq 0$. Thus $(F_5(0) + F_5(mi/n))/4!$ is a non-zero Gaussian integer.⁶ The proof of π 's irrationality is completed by applying this Leibniz-table-based proof to an arbitrary prime p . Note that for sufficiently large p , p will not divide a given m .

Conclusion

Nahin's treatment of π 's irrationality is quite long. The central technique is to use a rational approximation to the exponential function. The techniques used here derive from those used for transcendence proofs for e and π [1, 2,

5, 9]. As an exercise, look at the transcendence proofs for e [3, p. 216] and π [11] and construct Leibniz tables at key junctures. They help. It is while investigating these tables I discovered the proof given in this article.

The proof of π 's irrationality given here might well usefully find its way into a calculus or an advanced calculus course. Leibniz tables are clearly amenable to treatment in such a class. The other element of this proof not currently in typical calculus books is also relatively simple: given a polynomial on a finite interval, find its upper bound. These two techniques, having been introduced and perhaps drilled, the above proof distills down to a few logically evolving steps. Some additional pointers for presenting this article to undergraduates are given in [7].

Acknowledgements. Thanks go to Richard Foote of the University of Vermont and Thomas Beatty of FGCU: the anonymous flowers from Hermite and Lindemann, while I slaved away at the Paris Hilton getting the math correct, were a nice touch. I would also like to thank the editor for helping me improve this article, once I managed to get the math right. Endnotes for this article [7] were helped along by the fine calculus teachers at Edison State College: in particular Jo Ann Lewin and Joan van Glabek. Their patience and encouragement are much appreciated. Research articles were successfully found by Anthony Valenti, the head of the library of the Collier campus of Edison State College.

Summary. Using techniques used to show that e and π are transcendental, we give a short, elementary proof that π is irrational based on Euler's identity. This proof involves evaluations of a polynomial aided by repeated applications of Leibniz' formula as organized by a Leibniz table.

Notes

¹It is possible to start with $e^z F(0)$ with $F(z)$ a polynomial that is the sum of derivatives of another polynomial: a modified Taylor series expansion of a polynomial. The multiplication by e^z yields the Taylor series for $F(z)$ plus additional terms. As an easy, real example, consider

$$f(x) = x^3. \tag{5}$$

We have

$$F(z) = x^3 + 3x^2 + 6x + 3! \tag{6}$$

and $F(0) = 3!$. We can then observe that

$$e^x F(0) = (1 + x + x^2/2 + x^3/3! + \dots)3! = 3! + 6x + 3x^2 + x^3 + \epsilon = F(x) + \epsilon. \quad (7)$$

This is the approach of some transcendence proofs [4].

²For readers unfamiliar with complex calculus, all that one needs to know is that for the functions we consider the fundamental theorem of calculus is exactly what you would expect. So, for example,

$$\int_0^{\pi i} 2x + ix^2 \, dx = \Big|_0^{\pi i} \left(x^2 + i\frac{x^3}{3} \right).$$

The rules of differentiation and integration of polynomials yield the same results as the real case:

$$\frac{d}{dz} z^n = nz^{n-1}$$

and

$$\int z^n \, dz = \frac{z^{n+1}}{n+1},$$

where $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Such things as the product and chain rules and integration by parts can be applied to complex differentiation and integration. It is also true that

$$\frac{d}{dz} e^z = e^z.$$

For a summary of such results and some further examples see [12, pp. 96-99].

³To see this, try letting $f(z) = z^3$. We have then $F(z) = z^3 + 3z^2 + 6z + 6$ and $F'(z) = 3z^2 + 6z + 6$, so the difference is indeed z^3 , $f(z)$.

⁴As in the real case, e^z is defined as an infinite series:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k!}.$$

This series converges for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. A necessary condition for convergence applies just as in the real case; the terms must converge to zero, so here

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{z^k}{k!} = 0,$$

that is factorial growth beats exponential.

⁵Leibniz's formula is derived from repeated applications of the product rule of calculus. As the product rule applies to differentiable functions in complex calculus, Leibniz's formula certainly applies to complex polynomials.

⁶A short proof of this non-zero argument is as follows. Suppose $r + s = d$ and for a prime p we have $p \nmid r$ and $p|s$. If $d = 0$, then $r = -s$ implies that $p|r$, a contradiction.

References

- [1] L. Berggren, J. Borwein, and P. Borwein, *Pi: A Source Book*, 3rd ed., Springer, New York, 2004.
- [2] C. Hermite, Sur la fonction exponentielle, *Compt. Rend. Acad. Sci. Paris* **77** (1873) 18–24, 74–79, 226–233, 285–293.
- [3] I. N. Herstein, *Topics in Algebra*, 2nd ed., John Wiley, New York, 1975.
- [4] E. W. Hobson, *Squaring the Circle: A History of the Problem*, Merchant Books, 2007.
- [5] A. Hurwitz, Beweis der Transzendenz der Zahl e , *Mathematische Annalen* **43** (1893) 220–221.
- [6] T.W. Jones, Discovering and proving that π is irrational, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **117** (2010) 553–557.
- [7] ———, *Euler’s Identity, Leibniz Tables, and the Irrationality of Pi with Endnotes*, available at http://www.maa.org/pubs/cmj_supplements/index.html.
- [8] A. M. Legendre, *Éléments de géométrie, avec des notes*, 7me ed., Didot, Paris, 1808.
- [9] F. Lindemann, Über die Zahl π , *Mathematische Annalen* **20** (1882) 213–225.
- [10] P. J. Nahin, *Dr. Euler’s Fabulous Formula*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2006.
- [11] I. Niven, The transcendence of π , *The Amer. Math. Monthly* **46** (1939) 469–471.
- [12] M. R. Spiegel, *Complex Variables with an Introduction to Conformal Mapping and Applications*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1964