

Undergraduate Student Poster Feedback Form
Joint Mathematics Meetings 2019

Thank you for being willing to provide feedback to students who have prepared a poster for the Joint Mathematics Meetings. Please write your feedback on the back of this page. The following questions are included to give you some suggestions for how to evaluate each poster presentation. You need not address every question. Please write legibly and stay positive!

Content

Problem Statement and Motivation:

- Were the statement of the problem and the motivation clearly stated?
- Did the presenter have a good conceptual understanding of the problem and why it was important?

Methods:

- Did the methods used reflect clear and solid mathematical thinking?
- Was there a logical order to how the student approached the problem?
- Were the methods clearly presented?

Results:

- Did the student obtain meaningful results? Were they able to connect the results back to the original problem being studied?
- Did the student indicate which parts of the problem are still being worked on (if any) and/or future directions the project could take?
- If you are familiar with this research area, did this project produce significant original results, minor original results, or something that is known but new to the student?

Presentation

Appearance:

- Did the poster design present the project in a clear and logical way?
- Did the poster have an appropriately professional appearance?
- Were the text and figures visually appealing, or could they be improved in some way?

Overview/Explanation of Project:

- Was the presenter well-practiced, professional, clear, engaging, and concise?
- Did the presentation last for an appropriate amount of time?
- Did the presentation enhance your understanding of the poster content?

Answering Questions:

- Was the student welcoming and responsive to questions / comments?
- Did the student respond to your questions in a professional manner?
- If you asked the student questions, did the answers provide greater understanding of the project?

Poster #:	Primary Author:	Area:
------------------	------------------------	--------------

Positive Comments for Content and Presentation [see the reverse side for potential areas to address]:

Constructive Suggestions for Improvement [see the reverse side for potential areas to address]:

Judges are asked to identify at most one poster which they felt was truly outstanding. Of the three you judged, was this an outstanding poster?

YES

NO

Poster #:	Judge's Name:	Primary Author:
------------------	----------------------	------------------------