

# The combinatorial Nullstellensatz

Hendrik Lenstra



Mathematisch Instituut  
Universiteit Leiden

## The theme of the talk

**Notation:**  $F$  is a field,  $n \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$ , and  $F[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  is the polynomial ring in  $n$  indeterminates  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  over  $F$ .

Each  $g \in F[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  gives rise to a function  $F^n \rightarrow F$ ,  
 $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto g(x) = g(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ .

If  $F$  is finite, there are  $g_1 \neq g_2$  that give rise to the same function, *but if  $F$  is infinite, then this cannot happen.*

The *combinatorial Nullstellensatz* is a quantitative refinement of the latter assertion.

## Non-vanishing polynomials

**Theorem.** *If  $g \in F[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  is non-zero, and  $\#F > \deg g$ , then  $g$  does not vanish on  $F^n$ . More precisely, if  $S_i \subset F$  satisfies  $\#S_i > \deg_{X_i} g$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , then  $g$  does not vanish on  $S_1 \times \dots \times S_n$ .*

For  $n = 1$  this is because a polynomial of degree  $d$  has at most  $d$  zeroes in a field. For  $n > 1$  one applies induction.

## A theorem about matrices

**Matrix theorem.** *Let  $k \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$ , and let  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  be a basis for the  $F$ -vector space  $M(k, F)$  of  $k \times k$ -matrices over  $F$  (so  $n = k^2$ ). Then the additive subgroup of  $M(k, F)$  generated by  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  contains an invertible matrix.*

In other words, one has  $\det(\sum_{i=1}^n m_i A_i) \neq 0$  for certain  $m_1, \dots, m_n$  that are in  $\mathbf{Z}$  if  $\text{char } F = 0$  and in  $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$  if  $\text{char } F = p > 0$ .

## An example

The standard basis of  $M(k, F)$  consists of the  $k^2$  matrices that have one entry equal to 1 and all others equal to 0.

The additive subgroup generated by this basis equals  $M(k, \mathbf{Z})$  or  $M(k, \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})$ . It contains many invertible matrices, for example the  $k \times k$  identity matrix  $I_k$ .

For a general basis  $A_1, \dots, A_n$ , we start by expressing  $\det(\sum_{i=1}^n m_i A_i)$  as a polynomial in  $m_1, \dots, m_n$ .

## An attempted proof

Put

$$g = \det\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i A_i\right) \in F[X_1, \dots, X_n].$$

This is a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $k$ .

It is not identically zero, since if  $\sum x_i A_i = I_k$ , then  $g(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \det(I_k) = 1 \neq 0$ .

If  $S \subset F$  satisfies  $\#S > k$ , then  $g$  does not vanish on  $S \times S \times \dots \times S$ . With  $S = \mathbf{Z}$  or  $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$ , this proves the theorem if  $\text{char } F = 0$  or  $\text{char } F = p > k$ .

## The standard basis

If  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  is the standard basis of  $M(k, F)$ , then (reindexing the indeterminates) we have

$$g = \det(X_{ij})_{i,j=1}^k,$$

which is of degree 1 in each variable.

So in that case the proof does go through.

# The combinatorial Nullstellensatz

**Theorem** (Noga Alon, 1999). *Let  $d_1, \dots, d_n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$  and  $g \in F[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ . Suppose that  $g$  has a non-zero coefficient at  $X_1^{d_1} \cdots X_n^{d_n}$  and that  $d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_n = \deg g$ . Let  $S_i \subset F$  satisfy  $\#S_i > d_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then  $g$  does not vanish on  $S_1 \times \dots \times S_n$ .*

Alon proves this using an elementary special case of Hilbert's Nullstellensatz. There are several other easy proofs in the literature, including a very brief one by T. Tao.



# Applications

The combinatorial Nullstellensatz has seen many dramatic applications in *extremal graph theory* and *arithmetic combinatorics*.

Examples today: a quick proof of the *theorem of Cauchy–Davenport*, a proof of the theorem on matrices, and an application to the *normal basis theorem*.

## The Cauchy–Davenport theorem

**Theorem** (Cauchy, 1813; Davenport, 1935). *Let  $p$  be prime, let  $A, B \subset \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$  be non-empty, and put  $A + B = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}$ . Then*

$$\#(A + B) \geq \min\{\#A + \#B - 1, p\}.$$

Note that equality holds if  $A$  and  $B$  are arithmetic progressions with the same step.

*Proof* if  $\#A + \#B > p$ : for each  $c \in \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$  the sets  $A$  and  $c - B$  must intersect, so  $A + B = \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$ .

## The Cauchy–Davenport theorem

**Theorem** (Cauchy, 1813; Davenport, 1935). *Let  $p$  be prime, let  $A, B \subset \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$  be non-empty, and put  $A + B = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}$ . Then*

$$\#(A + B) \geq \min\{\#A + \#B - 1, p\}.$$

*Proof* if  $\#A + \#B \leq p$ . Suppose not. Pick  $C \subset \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$  with  $A + B \subset C$  and  $\#C = \#A + \#B - 2$ . Then  $g = \prod_{c \in C} (X_1 + X_2 - c)$  vanishes on  $A \times B$ , has degree  $\#A + \#B - 2$ , and has a nonzero coefficient at  $X_1^{\#A-1} X_2^{\#B-1}$ , contradicting the combinatorial Nullstellensatz.

## The matrix theorem

**Matrix theorem.** *Let  $k \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$ , and let  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  be a basis for the  $F$ -vector space  $M(k, F)$  of  $k \times k$ -matrices over  $F$  (so  $n = k^2$ ). Then the additive subgroup of  $M(k, F)$  generated by  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  contains an invertible matrix.*

We saw already that we may assume  $\text{char } F = p > 0$ , and that it suffices to show that  $g = \det\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i A_i\right)$  does not vanish on  $(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}) \times (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}) \times \dots \times (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})$ . So we want to apply the combinatorial Nullstellensatz with all  $S_i = \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$ .

# The combinatorial Nullstellensatz

**Theorem.** Let  $d_1, \dots, d_n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$  and  $g \in F[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ . Suppose that  $g$  has a non-zero coefficient at  $X_1^{d_1} \cdots X_n^{d_n}$  and that  $d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_n = \deg g$ . Let  $S_i \subset F$  satisfy  $\#S_i > d_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then  $g$  does not vanish on  $S_1 \times \dots \times S_n$ .

## What we want

We want to show that  $g = \det\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i A_i\right)$  has a term  $cX_1^{d_1} \cdots X_n^{d_n}$  with  $c \in F^*$  and all  $d_i < p$ .

If  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  is the standard basis of  $M(k, F)$ , then this is true, since each non-zero term is of the form  $\pm X_1^{d_1} \cdots X_n^{d_n}$  with all  $d_i \in \{0, 1\}$ .

Why is it true in general?

## A minor miracle

A minor miracle happens in the special case

$$\text{char } F = p > 0, \quad \#S_i = p \quad (1 \leq i \leq n)$$

of the combinatorial Nullstellensatz that we need.

## A minor miracle

A minor miracle happens in the special case

$$\text{char } F = p > 0, \quad \#S_i = p \quad (1 \leq i \leq n).$$

Write  $\mathcal{D}$  for the set of  $g \in F[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  that are guaranteed not to vanish on any set of the form  $S_1 \times \dots \times S_n$  with  $S_i \subset F$ ,  $\#S_i = p$  for all  $i$ :

$$\mathcal{D} = \{g \in F[X_1, \dots, X_n] : g \text{ has a term } cX_1^{d_1} \cdots X_n^{d_n} \\ \text{with } c \in F^*, \text{ all } d_i < p, \text{ and } \sum_i d_i = \deg g\}.$$

**Miracle:** *the set  $\mathcal{D}$  is invariant under invertible linear substitutions of the  $X_i$ .*



## Invertible linear substitutions

For an invertible matrix  $C = (c_{ij}) \in M(n, F)$  and any  $g \in F[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ , put  $g_C = g(\sum_j c_{1j}X_j, \dots, \sum_j c_{nj}X_j)$ .

This defines a right action of the group  $GL(n, F)$  on the ring  $F[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ .

**Miracle:**  $g \in \mathcal{D} \Leftrightarrow g_C \in \mathcal{D}$ .

Here we assume  $\text{char } F = p > 0$ , and

$$\mathcal{D} = \{g \in F[X_1, \dots, X_n] : g \text{ has a term } cX_1^{d_1} \cdots X_n^{d_n} \\ \text{with } c \in F^*, \text{ all } d_i < p, \text{ and } \sum_i d_i = \deg g\}.$$

## Explaining the miracle away

**Theorem:**  $g \in \mathcal{D} \Leftrightarrow g_C \in \mathcal{D}$ .

*Proof.* Write  $\text{lt } g$  for the sum of the terms of degree  $\deg g$  of  $g$ , and  $\text{lt } 0 = 0$ . Let  $I$  be the ideal  $(X_1^p, \dots, X_n^p)$ . Then:

$$g \notin \mathcal{D} \Leftrightarrow \text{lt } g \in I.$$

Now we have  $\text{lt}(g_C) = (\text{lt } g)_C$  and  $I_C = I$ , the latter equality because  $p$ -th powering is additive and therefore

$$I = (h^p : h \in F \cdot X_1 + \dots + F \cdot X_n).$$

This implies the theorem!

## The moral of the miracle

*If in the situation*

$$\text{char } F = p > 0, \quad \#S_i = p \quad (1 \leq i \leq n)$$

*we want to check that  $g$  satisfies the condition of the combinatorial Nullstellensatz, we may subject the vectors in  $F^n$  to any coordinate transformation that we like.*

In particular, in our matrix theorem, we may replace the basis  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  of  $M(k, F)$  by the standard basis. Since in that case we know already that  $g$  satisfies the required condition, we are done!

# The matrix theorem

**Matrix theorem.** *Let  $k \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$ , and let  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  be a basis for  $M(k, F)$  over  $F$ . Then the additive subgroup of  $M(k, F)$  generated by  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  contains an invertible matrix.*

## More matrices

**Theorem.** *Let  $k \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$ , let  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  be a basis for  $M(k, F)$  over  $F$ , and let  $c \in F$ . Then every coset of the additive subgroup of  $M(k, F)$  generated by  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  contains a matrix  $B$  with  $\det B \neq c$ .*

The proof is the same.

## A ring-theoretic generalization

Let  $R$  be a ring of which the center contains  $F$ , and suppose  $\dim_F R < \infty$ .

**Unit theorem.** *The additive subgroup of  $R$  generated by any  $F$ -basis for  $R$  contains an invertible element of  $R$ .*

The proof is essentially by reduction to the case of matrix rings.

Further examples are the rings  $F^n$  with component-wise multiplication, and group rings  $F[G]$  of finite groups  $G$ .

## The normal basis theorem

**Theorem.** *Let  $E \subset F$  be a finite Galois extension of fields, with Galois group  $G$ . Then there exists  $\alpha \in F$  such that  $(\sigma\alpha)_{\sigma \in G}$  is an  $E$ -basis of  $F$ . Moreover, such an  $\alpha$  can be found in the additive subgroup generated by any  $E$ -basis of  $F$ .*

The normal basis theorem for  $E \subset F$  follows from the unit theorem for  $F[G]$ .

## Getting a normal basis from a unit

Define  $\varphi: F \rightarrow F[G]$  by  $\varphi(\alpha) = \sum_{\tau \in G} (\tau^{-1}\alpha)\tau$ .

- $\varphi$  is  $E$ -linear,
- $\varphi$ (any  $E$ -basis of  $F$ ) is an  $F$ -basis of  $F[G]$ ,
- $\varphi(\sigma\alpha) = \sigma \cdot \varphi(\alpha)$  for all  $\sigma \in G$ ,  $\alpha \in F$ .

It follows that  $(\sigma\alpha)_{\sigma \in G}$  is an  $E$ -basis of  $F$  if and only if  $\varphi(\alpha)$  is invertible in  $F[G]$ .

Now one can apply the unit theorem to  $F[G]$  to obtain the normal basis theorem for  $E \subset F$ .



## Literature

Noga Alon, *Combinatorial Nullstellensatz*, 1999.

Martin Heemskerk, *Basisuitbreidingen en de combinatorische Nullstellensatz*, 2014,  
<http://www.math.leidenuniv.nl/nl/theses/515/>

Terence Tao, *Algebraic combinatorial geometry: the polynomial method in arithmetic combinatorics, incidence combinatorics, and number theory*, 2014.

## Today's suspects

Augustin-Louis Cauchy, French mathematician,  
1789–1857.

Évariste Galois, French mathematician, 1811–1832.

David Hilbert, German mathematician, 1862–1943.

Harold Davenport, English mathematician, 1907–1969.

Noga Alon, Israeli mathematician, 1956.

Terence Tao, Australian-American mathematician, 1975.

Martin Heemskerck, Dutch mathematics student, 1993.