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1 Introduction – Non-Euclidean Geometry

Over 2000 years ago, the Greek mathematician Euclid compiled all of the known geometry of the time into a 13-volume text known as the *Elements*. In itself, that was an impressive feat, but what made his efforts particularly astonishing was that he laid a *logical* foundation for the subject as well. He established five axioms for geometry, then showed that every result in his text could be proven from those axioms.

In modern language, Euclid’s postulates can be stated as follows:

I. For every point \( P \) and every point \( Q \) not equal to \( P \) there exists a unique line \( \ell \) that passes through \( P \) and \( Q \).

II. For every segment \( AB \) and every segment \( CD \) there exists a unique point \( E \) such that \( B \) is between \( A \) and \( E \) and segment \( CD \) is congruent to segment \( BE \).

III. For every point \( O \) and every point \( A \) not equal to \( O \), there exists a circle with center \( O \) and radius \( OA \).

IV. All right angles are congruent to each other.

V. For every line \( \ell \) and point \( P \) that does not lie on \( \ell \), there exists a unique line \( m \) through \( P \) that is parallel to \( \ell \).

From the time the *Elements* was published, Euclid’s axioms have been the subject of careful scrutiny, criticism and controversy. Modern texts note several deficiencies in the axioms (see, for example [3], [1]). Generally speaking, Euclid’s axioms are not precise enough, and in his text, Euclid relies on diagrams and makes use of unstated assumptions. Many mathematicians, Hilbert in particular (see [2]), have devoted themselves to placing geometry on a firmer foundation than did Euclid. Nevertheless, Euclid’s axioms form the basis of *Euclidean geometry*, the plane geometry studied by most high school students today.

In spite of some of the weaknesses of Euclid’s axioms, they were, for the most part accepted by other mathematicians. For example, Axiom I tells us that through any two points, one and only one line can be drawn. Most people would grant that statement as a truth about our physical world. So it is with Euclid’s first four axioms. However, the fifth and final axiom proved extremely controversial.

Although Euclid’s fifth axiom, called the “parallel postulate”, seems a reasonable assumption, geometers were skeptical from its first appearance. Specifically, many thought that Euclid V could be proven from his other four axioms. If this were true, there would be no need to assume it, and Euclid would have needed only four axioms for his *Elements*. For 2000 years, geometers attempted to prove the “parallel postulate” and met with utter
failure. In every purported proof, there could be found a flaw, some statement which in turn could not be proven.

In the 19th century, mathematicians began to look at the postulate in a new way. Rather than trying to prove Euclid V, they began to question whether such attempts could really succeed. Three mathematicians (Gauss, Bolyai, and Lobachevsky) independently developed a noncontradictory geometry in which the parallel postulate is false. One way that Euclid’s fifth axiom can fail is to have “too many parallel lines through a point”:

There exists a line \( \ell \) and a point \( P \) not on \( \ell \) such that at least two distinct lines parallel to \( \ell \) pass through \( P \).

Rather than assuming the parallel postulate, the three men assumed this axiom, which is today called the **Hyperbolic Axiom**. Using the hyperbolic axiom and Euclid’s other four postulates, Gauss, Bolyai, and Lobachevsky developed the important and rich subject which has come to be known as hyperbolic geometry.

Before discussing models on hyperbolic geometry, we must point out that the hyperbolic axiom is not the only way in which Euclid V can fail. It is possible, of course, that there are “not enough parallel lines through a point”:

There exists a line \( \ell \) and a point \( P \) not on \( \ell \) such that there are no lines parallel to \( \ell \) which pass through \( P \).

If we assume this axiom, called the **Elliptic Axiom**, rather than Euclid’s parallel postulate, we obtain yet another type of geometry, albeit with some restrictions. Elliptic geometry requires slight modifications to the other axioms of geometry and will not be considered here. **Non-Euclidean geometry** consists of both elliptic and hyperbolic geometry, though in the context of this report it will generally refer to hyperbolic geometry.

# 2 Models of Hyperbolic Geometry

One particular difficulty with non-Euclidean geometry is visualization. How can one understand a universe in which the parallel postulate does not hold? In our experience, if we construct two parallel lines, then draw a third line which intersects one of the two parallel lines, it seems clear that it must intersect the other. The solution to this difficulty again requires a change in thinking. We abstract our notions of common geometric terms such as “line”, “point”, and “congruent”, reinterpret them and create a universe, or **model**, where Euclid’s first four axioms hold, but the fifth one doesn’t.

There are many models of hyperbolic geometry. Perhaps the best known are the Poincaré disk model, the Poincaré half-plane model, and the Beltrami-Klein (or Klein) model. In each of these models there are different interpretations of undefined geometric terms such as “point,” “line,” and “congruent.” In the non-Euclidean models, the interpretations are generally different from their usual Euclidean notions, so standard Euclidean constructions do not directly apply. For example, in the Poincaré models, “lines” are generally (but not always) interpreted to be arcs of circles, so in these models, lines cannot generally be constructed with just a Euclidean straightedge.
The non-Euclidean models thus provide a challenge: how does one construct typical geometric objects in hyperbolic geometry? With a straightedge and compass, one can draw circles, bisect angles, and construct midpoints in Euclidean geometry. Using the same tools, how does one perform the same constructions in the hyperbolic models?

Alexander and Finzer have written *Geometer’s Sketchpad* scripts to perform ten typical constructions in the Poincaré disk model. The constructions are available at

http://mathforum.org/sketchpad/maa96/alexander/index.html

and include scripts to

1. Construct a non-Euclidean line, given two points on the line.
2. Construct a non-Euclidean line segment, given the endpoints of the segment.
3. Measure the length of a non-Euclidean line segment.
4. Calculate the measure of an angle.
5. Construct the bisector of a given angle.
6. Construct a perpendicular to a given line through a given point on the line.
7. Construct a perpendicular to a given line through a given point not on the line.
8. Construct the perpendicular bisector of a non-Euclidean line segment.
9. Construct a circle, given its center and a point on the circle.
10. Construct a circle, given its center and two points determining the radius of the circle.

These constructions use the Euclidean tools of *Geometer’s Sketchpad*, which are, in essence, computer versions of the straightedge and compass. (It should be noted that items #3 and #4 above are not actually constructions, since they require the notion of measurement.)

In this document, we describe the steps necessary to perform Constructions 1–10 for the other two well-known models of hyperbolic geometry, the Beltrami-Klein and Poincaré half-plane models. The descriptions provide a context for understanding the constructions in associated *Geometer’s Sketchpad* scripts for these models. In addition, creating a script for one of these “standard” constructions occasionally necessitated the development of related tool which is useful in itself. For example, constructing the perpendicular bisector of a line segment in the model involves finding the “pole” of a Klein line, so the tool kpole.gss was developed for that purpose. These additional constructions are described as well when they arise, but the list of such additions includes scripts which find the midpoint of a line segment (kmidpt.gss and phmidpt.gss) and find the reflection of a point about a line (kreflpt.gss and phreflpt.gss), and scripts which map points in the Klein disk to their respective points in the Poincaré disk (kd_to_pd.gss) and back (pd_to_kd.gss) under the isomorphism between the models. Finally, since a circle (i.e. the set of points a given distance from a given point) in the Klein disk is not a Euclidean circle, the Klein tools for constructions #9 and #10 result in loci of points, due to limitations of *Geometer’s Sketchpad*. This creates additional
difficulties when one wishes to attempting perform geometric operations on Klein circles (such as finding the intersection of two Klein circles); The current version of Sketchpad is unable to find the intersection of two objects which are defined as loci. As a result, tools are also given which will find the intersection of two circles (kintcirc.gss), find the intersection point(s) of a Klein circle with a Klein line (kintlncr.gss), and find the intersection point(s) of a Klein circle with a Klein segment (kintsger.gss). Please note that for all the tools, the constructions are only described - formal proofs of correctness are omitted.

The ten Klein scripts are provided first, followed by the half-plane scripts. To the best of our knowledge, the Klein scripts are original. That is, though others have certainly demonstrated the same constructions, they are an original compilation in the form of Sketchpad scripts. The same may be said for constructions 5–10 in the Poincaré half-plane model. Bennett has created scripts for constructions 1–4 for the half-plane model. His scripts may be found at


Peil has also written several scripts for the half-plane model, which may be found at

http://classweb.mnstate.edu/peil/Projects/geo.html.

Although several of the constructions described here appear to duplicate Peil’s work, there are some differences. For example, the midpoint and circle constructions described here use only straightedge and compass constructions, while Peil’s scripts involve coordinate geometry as well.

3 Beltrami-Klein Model Scripts

In the Beltrami-Klein model of non-Euclidean geometry, we fix a Euclidean circle \( \gamma \). “Points” in this model are interpreted to be points interior to \( \gamma \), and “lines” are interpreted to be either open chords of the circle (i.e. chords without their endpoints) or open diameters of the circle. The Klein model is neither isometric nor conformal; that is, it represents neither distances nor angle measures faithfully. However, the model does provide several distinct advantages over the Poincaré models. First, it is immediately apparent that the hyperbolic axiom holds in this model. Given a chord \( \ell \) of \( \gamma \) and a point \( P \) interior to the circle that is not on the chord, there are an infinitude of distinct chords passing through \( P \) which do not intersect \( \ell \). Second, although the model is not conformal, constructing perpendicular lines in the Klein disk proves to be somewhat easier than in the Poincaré models.

Each construction described below corresponds to an available Geometer’s Sketchpad script. The name of the associated script is given in bold-face next to the number of the construction. In Sketchpad, the script tools assume that one is performing the constructions using a fixed circle labelled “Klein Disk,” defined by a center labelled “K-Disk center,” and a point on the circle labelled “K-Disk radius.” The Sketchpad file “klnstrt.gsp” contains this startup figure. The simplest way to use the Klein tools is to create a directory, perhaps called “klein,” in which all the scripts and “klnstrt.gsp” is stored. Set the script tool directory in Sketchpad to be “klein,” and the tools will be accessible from the Sketchpad desktop.
For the Klein constructions discussed below, Greenberg [1] provides much useful background. Stahl’s text [4] discusses the Klein model in depth as well. Note also that in the constructions, only the most general cases are considered. For example, if one wants to construct a perpendicular to a general Klein line, Constructions #6 and #7 will work nicely. However, if the Klein line happens to be a diameter of the Klein disk, the construction will fail. As noted in the introduction, in addition to the standard ten constructions, we include constructions which find the midpoint of a Klein segment (see item #8(i) below) and the reflection of a point about a Klein line (see item #9(i)). The pole of a Klein line is a useful construction in this model, and a description of that construction is also given (see item #5(i)). Throughout the constructions, we will use terms such as “K-line” and “K-circle” as shorthand for “Klein line” and “Klein circle”. This will distinguish between the non-Euclidean constructions and their Euclidean counterparts.

1. (kline.gss) Construct a Klein line, given two points on the line.

   **Given:** Two points $A$ and $B$ inside the Klein disk.

   **To Construct:** K-line $\overline{AB}$, i.e. the Euclidean chord of the Klein disk which passes through $A$ and $B$.

   a) Construct the Euclidean line $\overline{AB}$.

   b) Let $P$ and $Q$ be the points of intersection of $\overline{AB}$ with $\gamma$. ($P$ and $Q$ are ideal points.)

   c) Construct Euclidean segment $PQ$. By definition, this segment (excluding endpoints $P$ and $Q$) is the K-line passing through $A$ and $B$.

2. (ksegment.gss) Construct a Klein line segment, given the endpoints of the segment.

   **Given:** Two points $A$ and $B$ inside the Klein disk.

   **To Construct:** K-segment $AB$.

   a) Construct the Euclidean segment $AB$. By definition, this is also the K-segment joining $A$ and $B$.

3. (klength.gss) Measure the length of a Klein line segment.

   **Given:** Two points $A$ and $B$ inside the Klein disk.

   **To Measure:** The K-length of segment $AB$.

   a) Construct the K-line $\overline{AB}$. Let $P$ and $Q$ be the ideal points of this K-line, i.e. the ends of this chord of $\gamma$ passing through $A$ and $B$.

   b) Find each of the following Euclidean lengths: $\overline{AP}$, $\overline{AQ}$, $\overline{BP}$, $\overline{BQ}$.
c) Define the cross-ratio \((AB, PQ)\) by

\[(AB, PQ) = \frac{AP/AQ}{BP/BQ}.\]

Let \(d = \frac{1}{2} \cdot |\ell n(AB, PQ)|\). Then \(d\) is the K-length of segment \(AB\). (See [1, Theorem 7.4].) \(d\) is independent of the labelling of \(P\) and \(Q\) (i.e. their labelling could be reversed without affecting \(d\)). See Figure 1.

**Note:** Length is defined as one-half the absolute value of the natural log of the relevant cross-ratio. One could use a different log base or delete the factor of \(\frac{1}{2}\). The result would still be a perfectly legitimate hyperbolic measure of length. However, our particular choice of distance allows for simpler relationships between lengths and angle measures in hyperbolic trigonometry. Specifically, this choice yields the constant \(k = 1\) in Theorems 10.1 and 10.2 of [1], and allows for direct use of the hyperbolic law of sines and law of cosines ([1, Theorem 10.4]).

4. (**kangmea.gss**) Calculate the K-measure of an angle.

*Given:* Klein points \(A\), \(B\), and \(C\).

*To Calculate:* the K-measure of \(\angle ACB\).

**a)** Construct triangle \(\Delta ACB\). Note that constructing the triangle in the Klein disk is the same as constructing it in the Euclidean sense.

**b)** Let \(a\) be the length of side \(BC\), the side opposite \(\angle A\). Let \(b\) be the length of side \(AC\), the side opposite \(\angle B\). Let \(c\) be the length of side \(AB\), the side opposite \(\angle C\). Lengths should be measured using the “standard Klein unit.” See Construction #3 above.
5. i) (kpole.gss) Construct the pole of a given K-line (see [1, p. 239]).

Given: K-line $\ell$.

To Construct: $P(\ell)$, i.e. the intersection of the tangents to the defining K-circle $\gamma$ at the endpoints of the Euclidean chord making up $\ell$.

a) Let $Q$ and $R$ be the endpoints of the Euclidean chord which constitutes K-line $\ell$.

b) Draw segments $OQ$ and $OR$, where $O$ is the center of $\gamma$.

c) Construct the Euclidean line $m$ through $Q$ which is perpendicular to $OQ$. Construct the Euclidean line $n$ through $R$ which is perpendicular to $OR$. By construction, $m$ and $n$ are the tangents to $\gamma$ through the points $Q$ and $R$, respectively.

d) Assuming $\ell$ is not a diameter of $\gamma$, lines $m$ and $n$ intersect at a point $P(\ell)$ exterior to $\gamma$.

$P(\ell)$ is the pole of $\ell$. See Figure 2.

ii) (kangbis.gss) Construct the bisector of a given angle.

Given: Klein points $A$, $B$, and $C$.

To Construct: the angle bisector of of $\angle ACB$.

(Greenberg outlines this construction in [1, p. 273].)
a) Construct K-rays \( \overrightarrow{CA} \) and \( \overrightarrow{CB} \). Let \( D \) and \( E \) be the respective points where these rays intersect \( \gamma \).

b) Let \( P \) be the pole of the Euclidean chord \( DE \), i.e. the pole of the K-line defined by the ideal points \( D \) and \( E \).

c) Draw Euclidean line \( \overrightarrow{PC} \). Let \( Q \) and \( R \) be the points of intersection of this line with \( \gamma \).

d) Euclidean chord \( QR \) is the K-line which bisects \( \angle ACB \) in the sense of Klein.

See Figure 3.

6. (kprpon.gss) Construct a perpendicular to a given Klein line through a given point on the line.

Given: Klein points \( A \) and \( B \).

To Construct: The K-line through \( B \) which is perpendicular (in the Klein sense) to the K-line \( \overrightarrow{AB} \).

a) Construct K-line \( \overrightarrow{AB} \) and construct the pole \( P \) of this line (See Construction #5 (i) above).

b) Draw Euclidean line \( \overrightarrow{PB} \). Let \( Q \) and \( R \) be the points where this line meets circle \( \gamma \). Then the chord of \( \gamma \) defined by \( QR \) is the K-line through \( B \) which is perpendicular (in the Klein sense) to \( \overrightarrow{AB} \).

7. (kprpoff.gss) Construct a perpendicular to a given Klein line through a given point not on the line.

Given: Klein points \( A \), \( B \) and \( C \), not collinear.

To Construct: The K-line through \( C \) which is perpendicular (in the Klein sense) to the K-line \( \overrightarrow{AB} \).
Figure 4: Constructing the midpoint of a line segment in the Klein model.

a) Construct K-line $\overline{AB}$ and construct the pole $P$ of this line (See Construction #5 (i) above).

b) Draw Euclidean line $\overline{PC}$. Let $Q$ and $R$ be the points where this line meets circle $\gamma$. Then the chord of $\gamma$ defined by $QR$ is the K-line through $C$ which is perpendicular (in the Klein sense) to $\overline{AB}$.

8. i) (**kmidpt.gss**) Construct the midpoint of a Klein line segment.

*Given:* Klein points $A$ and $B$.

*To Construct:* The point $M$ on K-segment $AB$ such that $AM \cong BM$ in the Klein sense.

(This construction is Exercise K-7 on p. 273 of [1]. It follows from discussion on p. 262 and 263 of that text.)

a) Construct the K-line $\overline{AB}$ (i.e. the Euclidean chord of $\gamma$ which passes through $A$ and $B$).

b) Using Construction #5 (i) above, let $P$ be the pole of Klein line $\overline{AB}$.

c) Draw Euclidean lines $\overline{PA}$ and $\overline{PB}$.

d) The lines $\overline{PA}$ and $\overline{PB}$ each intersect circle $\gamma$ in two points. Let $S$ and $S'$ be the points of intersection of $\gamma$ and $\overline{PA}$. Let $T$ and $T'$ be the points of intersection of $\gamma$ and $\overline{PB}$. Then $S$, $S'$, $T$, and $T'$ are all ideal points.

e) Construct chords $ST'$ and $S'T$ of circle $\gamma$. Let $M$ be the intersection point of these chords.

By construction, $M$ lies on segment $AB$ and $AM \cong BM$. See Figure 4.

ii) (**kprpbis.gss**) Construct the perpendicular bisector of a Klein line segment.

*Given:* Klein points $A$ and $B$. 
To Construct: The K-line $\ell$ perpendicular (in the Klein sense) to the K-line $\overrightarrow{AB}$ and passing through the midpoint $M$ of K-segment $AB$.

- a) Using the construction outlined in Construction #8 (i) above, construct the midpoint $M$ of segment $AB$.
- b) Using Construction #6 above, construct the line $\ell$ through $M$ which is perpendicular to K-line $\overrightarrow{AB}$. Then $\ell$ is the required perpendicular bisector of $AB$.

Tools which allow one to construct circles in the Klein disk complete the package of hyperbolic tools for this model. However, because of limitations in the Geometer’s Sketchpad software, circle construction creates several challenges. In particular, unlike in the Poincaré models, hyperbolic circles in the Klein model are not Euclidean circles. As a result, rather than viewing a circle as a single defined object (a circle), Sketchpad needs to consider a Klein circle as a locus, i.e. a collection of points. This in turn raises other implementation issues: First, the smoothness and connectedness of the drawn Klein circles depends in part on the number of points used to draw the circle. Second, defining a circle as a locus of points introduces additional difficulties when one wishes to perform geometric operations on Klein circles (such as finding the intersection of two Klein circles).

One solution to these difficulties is to use the isomorphism between the the Klein disk and the Poincaré disk to draw circles. There is a bijective function $\phi$ taking the basic objects of the Klein disk (e.g. points and lines) to basic objects in the Poincaré disk which preserves the basic relationships (e.g. incidence, congruence, betweenness, etc.) among those objects. To construct a geometric object in the Klein model, one can map the “givens” which define the object to the Poincaré disk, construct the particular object in the Poincaré disk, then map the result back to the Klein disk. So, for example, if one wishes to construct the Klein circle centered at point $O$ with radius $OP$, we let $O' = \phi(O)$ and $P' = \phi(P)$ be the corresponding points in the Poincaré disk. We construct the Poincaré circle (call it $c'$) centered at $O'$ with radius $O'P'$. Our desired Klein circle is then the inverse image $\phi^{-1}(c')$.

The Klein circles produced in this manner are represented in Geometer’s Sketchpad as loci of points, but the sketches are generally smooth and connected. Moreover, one can use the same isomorphism to find the intersection of two Klein circles, the intersection of a Klein circle and a Klein line, or the intersection of a Klein circle and a Klein segment. (These are desirable tools to have; for example, constructing an equilateral triangle using Euclid’s first Proposition requires finding the intersection of two circles.) To find the intersection of two of these objects, we find the isomorphic images of the objects in the Poincaré disk and find the intersection(s) of those images in the Poincaré disk. The inverse image of the intersection(s) is the desired intersection(s) in the Klein disk.

One might also note that in fact all the tools for constructions in the Klein disk described above could be derived in a similar way from Bennett’s Poincaré disk tools. However, the disadvantage to this approach is clear: In addition to adding unnecessary complexity in many cases, all of the resulting constructions would be represented in Sketchpad as loci, which are significantly more difficult to manipulate than basic objects.

Before we explicitly describe the isomorphism between models and the circle constructions, we should note one philosophical disadvantage with this approach. This isomorphism
as implemented requires measurements and also imposes a coordinate system (albeit arbitrary) upon the Sketchpad desktop. Clearly this is theoretically unnecessary; constructing a circle does not require either measurement or a coordinate system. Therefore, following our description of the Klein circle constructions in #9 (i) below, we also include a construction which does not require measurement or a coordinate system, if one prefers. Our reasons for taking the first approach are precisely those given above, namely the picture looks better. The circle appears smooth and unbroken under most conditions, while the same cannot be said for the alternative construction given in #9 (ii) and #9 (iii).

The isomorphism between the Klein disk and the Poincaré disk is described on page 236 of Greenberg’s text ([1]). Given a Klein disk $\kappa$, let our Poincaré disk $\rho$ be the disk with the same center but twice the radius. Let $\sigma$ be a sphere whose “south pole” rests on the common center of the two disks, and whose radius is the same as that of $\kappa$. If $P$ is a point interior to the Klein disk, let $\phi_1(P)$ be the image of $P$ upon vertical projection onto the lower hemisphere of the sphere. $\phi_1$ is thus an isomorphism of $\kappa$ with the lower hemisphere. Define an isomorphism $\phi_2$ between the lower hemisphere and $\rho$ as follows: if $Q$ is a point on the lower hemisphere, then let $\phi_2(Q)$ be the intersection of the line joining the “north pole” of $\sigma$ and $Q$ with the Poincaré disk. Then $\phi_2$ is also an isomorphism, so $\phi = \phi_2 \circ \phi_1$ is an isomorphism between $\kappa$ and $\rho$. See Figure 5.

To describe the isomorphism explicitly, we introduce coordinates. Let $(x_0, y_0)$ be the common center of the Klein disk and Poincaré disk (the $z$ coordinate is understood to be 0 for the models, and is suppressed). Let the Klein disk have radius $r_0$, so that the Poincaré disk has radius $2r_0$. The sphere $\sigma$ is thus centered at $(x_0, y_0, r_0)$, with radius $r_0$, so its equation is given by

$$(x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2 + (z - r_0)^2 = r_0^2.$$

The isomorphism $\phi_1 : \kappa \to \sigma$ is described by

$$\phi_2(x, y) = (x, y, r_0 - \sqrt{r_0^2 - (x - x_0)^2 - (y - y_0)^2}).$$

The north pole $N$ of the sphere has coordinates $(x_0, y_0, 2r_0)$. If we pick a point $Q(x_1, y_1, z_1)$ on the southern hemisphere of $\sigma$, then to find $\phi_2(Q)$, we find the intersection of line $NQ$
with the plane \( z = 0 \). This line is represented by the parametric equations

\[
\begin{align*}
  x &= (x_1 - x_0)t + x_0 \\
  y &= (y_1 - y_0)t + y_0 \\
  z &= (z_1 - 2r_0)t + 2r_0.
\end{align*}
\]

Setting \( z = 0 \) yields \( t = \frac{-2r_0}{z_1 - 2r_0} \). Substituting for \( x \) and \( y \) in the parametric equations yields

\[
\phi_2(x_1, y_1, z_1) = \left( \frac{-2r_0(x_1 - x_0)}{z_1 - 2r_0} + x_0, \frac{-2r_0(y_1 - y_0)}{z_1 - 2r_0} + y_0 \right). 
\]

Thus, the composition of \( \phi = \phi_2 \circ \phi_1 \) gives us our isomorphism between \( \kappa \) and \( \rho \), defined by

\[
(x, y) \mapsto \left( \frac{-2r_0(x - x_0)}{z_1 - 2r_0} + x_0, \frac{-2r_0(y - y_0)}{z_1 - 2r_0} + y_0 \right), \text{ where } z_1 = r_0 - \sqrt{r_0^2 - (x - x_0)^2 - (y - y_0)^2}. 
\]

The Geometer’s Sketchpad script \texttt{kd_to_pd.gss} implements this isomorphism. Given a point \((x, y)\) in the Klein disk, the script returns the corresponding point \(\phi(x, y)\) in the Poincaré disk.

The inverse isomorphism \(\phi^{-1} : \rho \to \kappa\) is found in a similar manner; the order of operations is simply reversed. Given a point \(P'\) in the Poincaré disk, we find the intersection of the line joining \(P'\) to the north pole of \(\sigma\) with the lower hemisphere of \(\sigma\). We then project that point vertically downward to find the corresponding point \(P = \phi^{-1}(P')\) in the Klein disk.

If \(P'\) has coordinates \((x', y')\), then the line \(NP'\) can be expressed parametrically by the system:

\[
\begin{align*}
  x &= (x' - x_0)t + x' \\
  y &= (y' - y_0)t + y' \\
  z &= -2r_0t.
\end{align*}
\]

This line intersects the lower half-sphere when

\[
t = \frac{-(y' - y_0)^2 - (x' - x_0)^2}{(y' - y_0)^2 + (x' - x_0)^2 + 4r_0^2} = \frac{-d^2}{d^2 + 4r_0^2},
\]

where \(d = \sqrt{(y' - y_0)^2 + (x' - x_0)^2}\) is the distance from \(P'\) to the center of the Poincaré disk. Substituting into our parametric equations, we find the intersection point on the lower hemisphere is

\[
\begin{align*}
  x &= \frac{-d^2(x' - x_0)}{d^2 + 4r_0^2} + x' \\
  y &= \frac{-d^2(y' - y_0)}{d^2 + 4r_0^2} + y' \\
  z &= \frac{2r_0d^2}{d^2 + 4r_0^2}.
\end{align*}
\]
Figure 6: Constructing the Klein circle centered at $O$ and with radius $OP$, using the isomorphism $\phi$ between the Klein disk and the Poincaré disk.

Then our inverse image $P = \phi^{-1}(P')$ in the Klein disk is the point $(x, y)$, where $x$ and $y$ have the values just defined. That is,

$$
\phi^{-1}(x', y') = \left( \frac{-d^2(x' - x_0)}{d^2 + 4r_0^2} + x', \frac{-d^2(y' - y_0)}{d^2 + 4r_0^2} + y' \right).
$$

The Geometer’s Sketchpad script `pd_to_kd.gss` implements the inverse isomorphism. Given a point $(x', y')$ in the Poincaré disk, the script returns the corresponding point $\phi^{-1}(x', y')$ in the Klein disk.

Finally, we are ready to describe how we construct Klein circles.

9. i) (kcntrpt.gss) Construct a Klein circle, given its center and a point on the circle.
   
   **Given:** Klein points $O$ and $P$.
   
   **To Construct:** The K-circle centered at $O$ with radius $OP$.
   
   a) Using the isomorphism $\phi$ between the Klein disk and Poincaré disk described above (implemented with the tool `kd_to_pd.gss`), find the images $O' = \phi(O)$ and $P' = \phi(P)$ of $O$ and $P$, respectively in the Poincaré disk.
   
   b) Using a Poincaré disk model tool (such as Bennett’s `centrpt.gss`), construct the Poincaré circle centered at $O'$ with radius $O'P'$. Call this circle $c_1$.
   
   c) Choose a point $X'$ on the circle $c_1$ defined in the previous step. Using the inverse isomorphism $\phi^{-1}$ between the Poincaré disk and the Klein disk (implemented with the tool `pd_to_kd.gss`), find the inverse image $X = \phi^{-1}(X')$ in the Klein disk.

The Klein circle $c_2$ centered at $O$ with radius $OP$ is the locus of points $X$ as the driver point $X'$ moves around circle $c_1$. See Figure 6.

ii) (kreflpt.gss) Construct the reflection of a point about a Klein line.
   
   **Given:** Klein points $A$ and $M$.
To Construct: The point $A'$ (distinct from $A$) on K-line $\overline{AM}$ such that $AM \cong A'M$ in the Klein sense. $A'$ is thus the image of $A$ under reflection about the K-line through $M$ which is perpendicular (in the Klein sense) to $\overline{AM}$.

(Greenberg outlines this construction on p. 262 of [1].)

a) Construct the K-line passing through $A$ and $M$. Call this line $t$.
b) Construct the pole $Q$ of $t$ using Construction #5 (i) given above.
c) Draw Euclidean line $\overrightarrow{QA}$. This line intersects $\gamma$ at two points, $S$ and $S'$.
d) Draw Euclidean line $\overrightarrow{QM}$. The portion of this line which is a chord of $\gamma$ is a K-line through $M$ which is perpendicular to $t$. Call this line $m$.
e) Construct the pole $P$ of $m$ using Construction #5 (i) given above.
f) Draw the Euclidean lines $\overrightarrow{PS}$ and $\overrightarrow{PS'}$. Each of these lines intersects $\gamma$ at another point on $\gamma$. Call these additional ideal points $T$ and $T'$, respectively.
g) Draw Euclidean chord $TT'$. $TT'$ intersects K-line $t$ at a point $A'$.

$A'$ is the desired reflection of $A$ about line $m$, with $AM \cong A'M$ in the Klein sense. See Figure 7.

iii) Here we provide an alternate construction for a Klein circle given its center and a point on the circle. This construction does not require measurement or a coordinate system, but the resulting circle tends not to be as cleanly represented in Geometer’s Sketchpad as that of Construction #9 (i) above.

Given: Klein points $O$ and $P$.

To Construct: The K-circle centered at $O$ with radius $OP$.
a) Construct the Euclidean circle $c_1$ centered at $O$ with Euclidean radius $OP$.
b) Choose a point $Q$ on circle $c_1$.
c) Draw line $\overrightarrow{OQ}$.
d) Construct the reflection of point $P$ about ray $\overrightarrow{OQ}$. To do this, drop a K-perpendicular from $P$ to line $\overline{OQ}$ and let $M$ be the point of intersection. Reflect point $P$ using Construction #9 (i) above. Let $P'$ be the image of this reflection.

e) By construction, $OP \cong OP'$ in the sense of Klein.

The Klein circle $c_2$ centered at $O$ with radius $OP$ is the locus of points $P'$ as $Q$ travels around the Euclidean circle $c_1$. Note that $c_2$ is not a Euclidean circle. See Figure 8.

10. i) (kcntrrd.gss) Construct a Klein circle, given its center and two points, the segment between which determines the radius of the circle.
Given: Klein points $O$, $A$, and $B$. 
To Construct: The K-circle centered at \( O \) and consisting of all points \( P \) such that \( OP \cong AB \) in the Klein sense.

a) Using the isomorphism \( \phi \) between the Klein disk and Poincaré disk described above (implemented with the tool \texttt{kd\_to\_pd.gss} ), find the images \( O' = \phi(O) \), \( A' = \phi(A) \), \( B' = \phi(B) \) of \( O \), \( A \), and \( B \), respectively in the Poincaré disk.

b) Using a Poincaré disk model tool (such as Bennett’s \texttt{centrrad.gss} ), construct the Poincaré circle centered at \( O' \) and consisting of all points \( P' \) such that \( O'P' \cong A'B' \) in the Poincaré disk sense. Call this circle \( c_1 \).

c) Choose a point \( X' \) on the circle \( c_1 \) defined in the previous step. Using the inverse isomorphism \( \phi^{-1} \) between the Poincaré disk and the Klein disk (implemented with the tool \texttt{pd\_to\_kd.gss} ), find the inverse image \( X = \phi^{-1}(X') \) in the Klein disk.

The Klein circle \( c_2 \) centered at \( O \) with radius \( OP \cong AB \) is the locus of points \( X \) as the driver point \( X' \) moves around circle \( c_1 \).

ii) The construction in \#10(i) above is implemented using coordinates and measurement, since it involves the isomorphism \( \phi \) between the Poincaré disk and the Klein disk. An alternate construction is provided here which does not require introducing coordinates or measurements, but the resulting circle tends not to be as cleanly represented in Geometer’s Sketchpad as that of \#10(i).

Given: Klein points \( O \), \( A \), and \( B \).

To Construct: The K-circle centered at \( O \) with radius \( OP \cong AB \) in the Klein sense.

a) Draw segment \( OA \). Let K-line \( \ell \) be the perpendicular bisector of segment \( OA \), using Construction \#8 (ii) above.

b) Drop a K-perpendicular from point \( B \) to line \( \ell \) at a point \( M \), using Construction \#7 above.

c) Reflect point \( B \) about line \( \ell \) using Construction \#9 (ii) above. Let \( P \) be the image of this reflection. If we let \( T \) be the point of intersection of \( OA \) and \( \ell \), then we can see that \( OP \cong AB \) in the sense of Klein by using two sets of congruent triangles: \( \Delta TPM \cong \Delta BTM \) by SAS. This in turn gives us \( \Delta TPO \cong \Delta TBA \) by SAS, and our result follows.

d) Construct the K-circle \( c_1 \) centered at \( O \) with radius \( OP \), using Construction \#9 (iii) above.

Circle \( c_1 \) is our desired circle. See Figure 9.

We finish our discussion of the Klein circle tools and the Klein disk in general with a description of the three scripts \texttt{kintcirc.gss}, \texttt{kinlncr.gss}, and \texttt{kintsgcr.gss}. These tools are designed to respectively find the intersection point(s) of two Klein circles, to find the intersection point(s) of a Klein circle with a Klein line, and to find the intersection point(s) of a Klein circle with a Klein line segment. As discussed above, these tools are necessary because of the limitations of the Geometer’s Sketchpad software; Klein circles are represented
as loci of points, and current versions of *Sketchpad* are not capable of finding intersections of objects which are loci.

As with the circle constructions, the construction of these “intersection” scripts use the isomorphism $\phi$ between the Klein disk and Poincaré disk. The idea of the intersection scripts is as follows: given two objects in the Klein disk which we wish to intersect, we find their images under the isomorphism $\phi$ in the Poincaré disk. We find the intersection of these corresponding images in the Poincaré disk using the usual *Sketchpad* tools. We find the inverse images of these intersection points to find the desired intersections in the Klein disk.

### 4 Poincaré Half-Plane Model Scripts

In the Poincaré Half-plane model of hyperbolic geometry, we fix a (Euclidean) line and arbitrarily choose one side of that line to be the “upper half-plane.” For intuitive purposes, we generally use the $x$-axis as our line and let the set of points whose $y$-coordinate is positive be the upper half-plane. “Points” in this model are interpreted to be points in the upper half-plane (not including the $x$-axis), and “lines” are interpreted to be either vertical rays with endpoint on the $x$-axis, or, more generally half circles whose center lies on the $x$-axis. (The constructions below work in the more general case, not for the special case of the lines which are rays.)

Below are descriptions of basic constructions 1–10 in the Poincaré half-plane model. The descriptions supplement the associated *Geometer’s Sketchpad* scripts for the constructions. Although I give descriptions for all ten constructions, others have produced scripts for these constructions previously. As noted earlier, Bennett has created scripts for items 1–4 in the half-plane model. His scripts may be found at [http://www.keypress.com/sketchpad/misc/sibley/sibley.htm](http://www.keypress.com/sketchpad/misc/sibley/sibley.htm).

These scripts are titled *hyp_line.gss*, *hyp_seg.gss*, *hyp_dist.gss*, and *hyp_angl.gss*, and construct hyperbolic lines and segments, and measure hyperbolic distances and angles, respectively, in the Poincaré half-plane model. My description of “Construction” #3 is slightly different from Bennett’s. I choose a slightly different unit for measuring length, for ease of use of hyperbolic trigonometry. As such, I give a description of my construction/calculation script (*phlength.gss*), rather than his. The remaining scripts given here have been written by this author.

Peil has also written several scripts for the half-plane model, which may be found at [http://classweb.mnstate.edu/peil/Projects/geo.html](http://classweb.mnstate.edu/peil/Projects/geo.html).

Although several of the constructions described here appear to duplicate Peil’s work, it should be noted that several constructions differ in their fundamentals (Peil uses coordinate geometry for some of his constructions).

As in the Beltrami-Klein case, each construction corresponds to an available *Geometer’s Sketchpad* script. The name of the associated script is given in bold-face next to the number of the construction. In *Sketchpad*, the script tools assume that one is performing the constructions using a fixed line defined by two points labelled “A” and “B”. Although one can typically use any line so defined, it is helpful to use the $x$-axis as the defining line. In
particular, *Sketchpad* can become confused as to which side of line $\overline{AB}$ is the “upper half-plane,” an ambiguity which is less troublesome when $\overline{AB}$ is the $x$-axis. The *Sketchpad* file “poinhalf.gsp” contains a startup figure in which $A$ and $B$ are points on the $x$-axis. The simplest way to use the script tools is to create a directory, perhaps called “poinhalf,” in which all the scripts and “poinhalf.gsp” is stored. Set the script tool directory in *Sketchpad* to be “poinhalf,” and the tools will be accessible from the *Sketchpad* desktop.

As noted in the introduction, in addition to the standard ten constructions, we include constructions which find the midpoint of a half-plane segment (see item #8(i) below) and the reflection of a point about a half-plane line (see item #9(i)). In all the constructions we will use terms such as “PH-line” and “PH-circle” as shorthand for “Poincaré half-plane line” and “Poincaré half-plane circle”. This will distinguish between the non-Euclidean constructions and their Euclidean counterparts.

1. (Bennett’s *hyp_line.gss*) Construct a PH-line, given two points on the line.

   *Given:* Two points $A$ and $B$ in the PH-plane.

   *To Construct:* PH-line $\overline{AB}$, i.e. the half-circle centered on the $x$-axis and passing through $A$ and $B$.

   a) Construct Euclidean segment $\overline{AB}$.

   b) Let $\ell$ be the Euclidean perpendicular bisector of $\overline{AB}$. Assuming that $A$ and $B$ do not lie on the same vertical line, let $O$ be the intersection of $\ell$ and the $x$-axis.

   c) Let $c_1$ be the circle centered at $O$ with radius $OA$.

   The half of circle $c_1$ which lies in the upper half plane is the PH-line through $A$ and $B$. See Figure 10.

2. (Bennett’s *hyp_seg.gss*) Construct a PH-line segment, given the endpoints of the segment.

   *Given:* Two points $A$ and $B$ in the PH-plane.
To Construct: PH-segment $\overrightarrow{AB}$, i.e. the arc of the circle centered on the $x$-axis, contained entirely in the upper half-plane, and with endpoints $A$ and $B$.

a) Construct the PH-line $\overrightarrow{AB}$ as described in Construction #1 above.

b) Let PH-segment $AB$ be the arc of the $\overrightarrow{AB}$ which is contained entirely in the upper half-plane, and has endpoints $A$ and $B$.

3. (phlength.gss) Measure the length of a PH-line segment.

Given: Two points $A$ and $B$ in the Poincaré half-plane.

To Measure: The PH-length of segment $AB$.

a) Construct the PH-line $\overrightarrow{AB}$. Let $P$ and $Q$ be the ideal points of this PH-line, i.e. the points of intersection of the $x$-axis and the circle centered on the $x$-axis which passes through $A$ and $B$.

b) Find each of the following Euclidean lengths: $AP$, $AQ$, $BP$, $BQ$.

c) Define the cross-ratio $(AB, PQ)$ by

$$ (AB, PQ) = \frac{AP/AQ}{BP/BQ}. $$

Let $d = |\ln(AB, PQ)|$. Then $d$ is the PH-length of segment $AB$. $d$ is independent of the labelling of $P$ and $Q$ (i.e. their labelling could be reversed without affecting $d$). See Figure 11.

Note: As in the length definition for the Klein model, other definitions (using a different log base or scaling factor) are possible, but this one allows for easy use of hyperbolic trig formulas.

4. (Bennett’s hyp_angl.gss) Calculate the measure of an angle.

Given: Points $A$, $B$, and $C$ in the Poincaré half-plane.

To Calculate: the PH-measure of $\angle ACB$. 

Figure 11: Calculating the length of a line segment $AB$ in the Poincaré Half-plane model.
Figure 12: Calculating the measure of angle $\angle ACB$ in the Poincaré Half-plane model.

- **a)** Construct PH-segments $AC$ and $BC$.
- **b)** Construct the Euclidean tangent lines to the arcs in step (a) at $C$: Let $O$ and $P$ be, respectively, the centers on the $x$-axis of the circles which define the Euclidean arcs given by PH-segments $AC$ and $BC$. Draw Euclidean radius segments $OC$ and $PC$. Let $m$ and $n$ be the Euclidean lines through $C$ which are perpendicular to $OC$, and $PC$, respectively. Then $m$ and $n$ are the desired tangent lines.
- **c)** Euclidean lines $m$ and $n$ define the angle we wish to measure. We need to ensure the interior of that angle is well-defined. Drop Euclidean perpendicular $\overrightarrow{AS}$ to line $m$ at $S$ and Euclidean perpendicular $\overrightarrow{BT}$ to line $n$ at $T$.
- **d)** Find the Euclidean measure of Euclidean angle $\angle SCT$.

See Figure 12.

5. (**phangbis.gss**) Construct the bisector of a given angle.

*Given:* Points $A$, $B$, and $C$ in the Poincaré half-plane.

*To Construct:* the PH-line which bisects PH-angle $\angle ACB$.

- **a)** Using steps (a)-(c) in Construction #4 above, construct Euclidean angle $\angle SCT$.
- **b)** Let $\overrightarrow{r}$ be the Euclidean angle bisector of $\angle SCT$.
- **c)** Let $\ell$ be the Euclidean line through $C$ which is perpendicular to $r$.
- **d)** Assuming that $r$ is not a vertical ray, $\ell$ will intersect the $x$-axis at some point $U$.
- **e)** Let $c_1$ be the Euclidean circle centered at $U$ with radius $UC$.

The upper half of circle $c_1$ is the PH-line which bisects $\angle ACB$. See Figure 13.

6. (**phprpon.gss**) Construct a perpendicular to a given PH-line through a given point on the line.

*Given:* Points $A$ and $B$ in the Poincaré half-plane.
To Construct: the PH-line (i.e. Euclidean half-circle) through A which is perpendicular (in the PH-sense) to the PH-line joining A and B.

a) Construct the PH-line \( \overrightarrow{AB} \) as described in Construction #1 above. As a Euclidean half-circle, let this PH-line have center \( O \) (on the \( x \)-axis).

b) Construct Euclidean segment \( OA \).

c) Construct the Euclidean line \( \ell \) through A which is perpendicular to segment \( OA \). Line \( \ell \) is thus the tangent to the PH-line \( \overrightarrow{AB} \) at point \( A \).

d) Assuming \( OA \) is not a vertical line segment, \( \ell \) intersects the \( x \)-axis at some point \( P \).

e) Construct the Euclidean half-circle \( m \) centered at \( P \) and passing through point \( A \).

PH-line \( m \) is the desired line. Note that by construction, Euclidean line \( \overrightarrow{PA} \) is perpendicular to segment \( OA \). On the other hand, Euclidean lines \( \overrightarrow{PA} \) and \( OA \) are tangent to the PH-lines \( \overrightarrow{AB} \) and \( m \), respectively. By the definition of perpendicularity in the half-plane model, then, \( m \perp \overrightarrow{AB} \). See Figure 14.

7. (phprpoff.gss) Construct a perpendicular to a given PH-line through a given point not on the line.

Given: Points \( A \) and \( B \) defining PH-line \( \overrightarrow{AB} \) and point \( C \) not on \( \overrightarrow{AB} \).

To Construct: PH-line (i.e. Euclidean half-circle) \( \ell \) through \( C \) which is perpendicular (in the PH-sense) to the PH-line joining \( A \) and \( B \).

a) Construct the PH-line \( \overrightarrow{AB} \) as described in Construction #1 above. As a Euclidean half-circle, let this PH-line have center \( O \). Extend the half-circle to a full-circle \( c_1 \).

b) Construct Euclidean line \( \overrightarrow{OC} \). Let \( D \) be the point above the \( x \)-axis where the PH-line \( \overrightarrow{AB} \) meets Euclidean line \( \overrightarrow{OC} \).
c) Construct the Euclidean circle $c_2$ centered at $O$ and passing through point $C$.

d) Construct the Euclidean line through $O$ which is perpendicular to Euclidean line $\overrightarrow{OC}$. Let this line intersect circle $c_1$ at point $E$ and circle $c_2$ at point $F$, where $E$ and $F$ lie above the $x$-axis.

e) Construct Euclidean line $\overrightarrow{DF}$.

f) Construct the line through $E$ which is parallel to $\overrightarrow{DF}$. Let this line intersect Euclidean line $\overrightarrow{OC}$ at point $G$.

g) Construct the PH-line $\overrightarrow{CG}$ as described in Construction #1 above.

PH-line $\overrightarrow{CG}$ is the desired line. The Euclidean half-circles $\overrightarrow{CG}$ and $\overrightarrow{AB}$ intersect orthogonally, so the lines are perpendicular in the Poincaré half-plane sense. See Figure 15.

8. **(phmidpt.gss)** Construct the midpoint of a PH-line segment.

*Given:* Points $C$ and $D$.  

---

Figure 14: Constructing the PH-line through $A$ which is perpendicular to $\overrightarrow{AB}$ in the Poincaré Half-plane model.

Figure 15: Constructing the PH-line through $C$ which is perpendicular to $\overrightarrow{AB}$ in the Poincaré Half-plane model.
To Construct: the point \( M \) lying on PH-segment \( CD \) which is the midpoint (in the PH-sense) of \( CD \).

\( a) \) Construct PH-segment (i.e. Euclidean circle arc) \( CD \). Extend this arc to a Euclidean circle \( c_1 \), centered at the point \( F \).

\( b) \) Construct Euclidean line \( CD \). Assuming \( CD \) is not parallel to the \( x \)-axis, let \( G \) be the point of intersection of \( CD \) and the \( x \)-axis.

\( c) \) Let \( H \) be the Euclidean midpoint of Euclidean segment \( FG \) which is part of the \( x \)-axis.

\( d) \) Let \( c_2 \) be the Euclidean circle centered at \( H \) and passing through \( G \).

\( e) \) Circles \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) intersect in two points on opposite sides of the \( x \)-axis. The intersection point \( M \) which is above the \( x \)-axis is the midpoint of PH-segment \( CD \).

This completes the essence of the construction; however, \textit{Geometer's Sketchpad} has some difficulty in deciding which intersection point in step (e) “is above the \( x \)-axis.” The remaining construction steps select the correct point without resorting to coordinate geometry:

\( f) \) Call the intersection points from step (e) points \( I \) and \( J \). Construct Euclidean line segment \( IJ \).

\( g) \) \( IJ \) is perpendicular to the \( x \)-axis at some point \( K \). In addition, \( IJ \) intersects Euclidean segment \( CD \) at some point \( L \).

\( h) \) Construct Euclidean ray \( KL \). By construction, this ray emanates from a point on the \( x \)-axis and “points in the positive \( y \)-direction.”

\( i) \) Let \( M \) be the intersection of ray \( KL \) with circle \( c_2 \).

By construction, \( M \) will be either point \( I \) or point \( J \), whichever has positive \( y \)-coordinate. See Figure 16.

\( ii) \) (\texttt{phprpbis.gss}) Construct the perpendicular bisector of a PH-line segment.

\textit{Given:} Points \( C \) and \( D \).
To Construct: the perpendicular bisector (in the PH-sense) of the PH-segment $CD$.

a) Construct the midpoint $M$ of PH-segment $CD$ as in Construction #8 (i) above.

b) Construct the PH-line $\ell$ through $M$ which is perpendicular to PH-segment $CD$ (see Construction # 6 above).

9. i) (phreflpt.gss) Construct the reflection of a point about a PH-line.

Given: Points $A$ and $M$ in the Poincaré half-plane.

To Construct: The point $A'$ on PH-line $\overrightarrow{AM}$ such that $AM \cong A'M$ in the Poincaré sense. $A'$ is thus the image of $A$ under reflection about the PH-line through $M$ which is perpendicular to $\overrightarrow{AM}$.

a) Construct the PH-line $\overrightarrow{AM}$. Let $c_1$ be the Euclidean half-circle which is this PH-line.

b) Using Construction #6 above, construct the PH-line $m$ through $M$ which is perpendicular to PH-line $\overrightarrow{AM}$. As a Euclidean half-circle, let this PH-line have center $O$.

c) Draw Euclidean line $\overrightarrow{OA}$. $\overrightarrow{OA}$ intersects the half-circle $c_1$ at two points, $A$ and another point $A'$.

$A'$ is the desired reflection of $A$ about line $m$ with $AM \cong A'M$. See Figure 17. This completes the essence of the construction; however, Geometer’s Sketchpad has some difficulty in deciding which point of intersection of line $\overrightarrow{OA}$ with circle $c_1$ is $A$ and which is the desired reflection $A'$. To resolve this difficulty, I include the following additional constructions:

d) After constructing line $\overrightarrow{OA}$, let $C$ and $D$ be the points of intersection of this line with circle $c_1$. (One of $C$ and $D$ is point $A$, and one is $A'$.)

e) Construct Euclidean segment $CD$ and find its Euclidean midpoint $E$.

f) Draw ray $\overrightarrow{AE}$. This ray will intersect circle $c_1$ at either $C$ or $D$. This point of intersection is our desired reflection $A'$.

ii) (phcntrpt.gss) Construct a PH-circle, given its center and a point on the circle.

Given: Points $O$ and $P$ in the Poincaré half-plane.

To Construct: the PH-circle centered at $O$ with PH-radius $OP$.

Note: A PH-circle is also a Euclidean circle, albeit with a different “center.” The Euclidean center of our desired circle will lie on the same vertical line as $O$.

a) Construct the Euclidean line $\ell$ through $O$ which is perpendicular to the $x$-axis.

b) Using Construction # 9(i) above, let $P'$ be the image of $P$ under PH-reflection about the line through $O$ perpendicular to PH-line $\overrightarrow{OP}$. Thus $OP \cong OP'$ in the PH-sense and $P'$ is a second point on the desired circle.
c) Draw Euclidean segment $PP'$ and construct its Euclidean perpendicular bisector $m$.

d) Assuming $m$ is not a vertical line, let $O'$ be the intersection of lines $m$ and $\ell$.

e) Let $c_1$ be the Euclidean circle centered at $O'$ and passing through $P$ (and $P'$ as well).

Circle $c_1$ is our desired circle. See Figure 18.

10. (phcntrrd.gss) Construct a PH-circle, given its center and two points, the distance between which determines the radius of the circle.

*Given:* Points $O$, $A$, and $B$ in the Poincaré half-plane.

*To Construct:* The PH-circle centered at $O$ with radius $OP$, where $OP \cong AB$ in the PH-sense.

a) Draw PH-segment $OA$. Let PH-line $\ell$ be the perpendicular bisector of segment $OA$, using Construction #8 (ii) above.
Figure 19: Constructing PH-circle centered at $O$ with PH-radius $OP$ congruent to $AB$ in the Poincaré Half-plane model.

b) Drop a PH-perpendicular from point $B$ to line $\ell$ at a point $M$, using Construction #7 above. Call this line $m$.

c) Reflect point $B$ about line $\ell$ using Construction #9 (i) above. Let $P$ be the image of this reflection, noting that $P$ lies on PH-line $m$.

d) Construct the PH-circle $c_1$ centered at $O$ with radius $OP$, using Construction #9 (ii) above.

Circle $c_1$ has center $O$ and radius $OP$. By construction, $OP \cong AB$. See Figure 19.
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