

Double Integrals for Euler's Constant and in  $\frac{4}{\pi}$  and an Analog of Hadjicostas's Formula

Author(s): Jonathan Sondow

Source: *The American Mathematical Monthly*, Vol. 112, No. 1 (Jan., 2005), pp. 61-65

Published by: [Mathematical Association of America](#)

Stable URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/30037385>

Accessed: 09-03-2015 18:42 UTC

---

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at <http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp>

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



*Mathematical Association of America* is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to *The American Mathematical Monthly*.

<http://www.jstor.org>

# NOTES

Edited by William Adkins

---

## Double Integrals for Euler's Constant and $\ln \frac{4}{\pi}$ and an Analog of Hadjicostas's Formula

---

Jonathan Sondow

---

It seems to be expected of every pilgrim up the slope of the mathematical Parnassus, that he will at some point or other of his journey sit down and invent a definite integral or two towards the increase of the common stock.

—J. I. Sylvester (1814–1897) [19, p. 214].

**1. INTRODUCTION.** Euler's constant  $\gamma$  is defined as the limit

$$\gamma = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \cdots + \frac{1}{N} - \ln N \right). \quad (1)$$

(For a proof that the limit exists, see [3, sec. 10.1], [7, chap. 2], or [11, sec. 9.1].) In this note we prove the formulas

$$\gamma = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n} - \ln \frac{n+1}{n} \right) = \iint_{[0,1]^2} \frac{1-x}{(1-xy)(-\ln xy)} dx dy, \quad (2)$$

$$\ln \frac{4}{\pi} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{n} - \ln \frac{n+1}{n} \right) = \iint_{[0,1]^2} \frac{1-x}{(1+xy)(-\ln xy)} dx dy. \quad (3)$$

In view of series (2), which is due to Euler, series (3) reveals  $\ln(4/\pi)$  to be an "alternating Euler constant."

The constants  $\gamma$  and  $\ln(4/\pi)$  are related by Euler's formula

$$\gamma = \ln \frac{4}{\pi} + 2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\zeta(n)}{2^n n}, \quad (4)$$

where

$$\zeta(s) = 1 + \frac{1}{2^s} + \frac{1}{3^s} + \cdots \quad (\Re(s) > 1) \quad (5)$$

is the Riemann zeta function. Relation (4), a special case of a formula for the gamma function [1, eq. 6.1.33], can be proved using series (2), (3), and (5).

We constructed the double integral (2) for  $\gamma$  by analogy with Beukers's integrals [2]

$$\zeta(2) = \iint_{[0,1]^2} \frac{1}{1-xy} dx dy \quad (6)$$

and

$$\zeta(3) = \frac{1}{2} \iint_{[0,1]^2} \frac{-\ln xy}{1-xy} dx dy. \quad (7)$$

Since going “up” from  $\zeta(2)$  to  $\zeta(3)$  involves multiplying the integrand of (6) by the derivative

$$-\ln xy = - \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (xy)^t \right|_{t=0},$$

in order to go “down” from  $\zeta(2)$  to  $\gamma$  (which by comparing (1) and (5) one may think of as “ $\zeta(1)$ ”) we multiplied by the integral

$$-\frac{1}{\ln xy} = \int_0^\infty (xy)^t dt. \quad (8)$$

Because of the asymmetry in definition (1) (and the preference for a convergent improper integral), we also multiplied by  $1-x$ . It then turned out that the resulting integral (2) does indeed represent  $\gamma$ .

We noticed (3) in a different way. We first made series (2) alternate and correspondingly changed a sign in the denominator of integral (2). We then found the value  $\ln(4/\pi)$  of the modified series and integral. Related formulas for  $\ln(\pi/2)$  are given in [17].

Beukers used “damped” versions of integrals (6) and (7) to simplify Apéry’s famous proof of the irrationality of  $\zeta(2)$  and  $\zeta(3)$  (see [2], [4, sec. 11.3], [12], and [20]). “Damping” integrals (2) and (3), however, only leads to *criteria* for irrationality of  $\gamma$  [16] and  $\ln(4/\pi)$ ; their arithmetic nature remains undetermined.

We prove the series formulas (2) and (3) in section 2, and the integral ones in section 3. We state a recent generalization of the integral formula (2) in section 4, and prove an analogous generalization of (3) in the final section. A special case is the evaluation

$$\iint_{[0,1]^2} \frac{1-x}{(1+xy)(\ln xy)^2} dx dy = \ln \frac{\pi^{1/2} A^6}{2^{7/6} e}, \quad (9)$$

where  $A$  is the Glaisher-Kinkelin constant, defined as the limit (see [8, sec. 2.15])

$$A = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1^1 2^2 3^3 \cdots n^n}{n^{\frac{1}{2}n^2 + \frac{1}{2}n + \frac{1}{12}} e^{-\frac{1}{4}n^2}}. \quad (10)$$

The constant  $A$  plays the same role in the approximation (10) to  $1^1 2^2 3^3 \cdots n^n$  as the constant  $\sqrt{2\pi}$  plays in Stirling’s approximation to  $n!$ , which can be written

$$\sqrt{2\pi} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdots n}{n^{n+1/2} e^{-n}}.$$

Euler’s constant plays a similar role in the approximation (1) to  $1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n}$  by  $\ln n$ .

**2. SUMMING THE SERIES.** To see that Euler's series (2) sums to  $\gamma$ , write the series as the limit

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^N \left( \frac{1}{n} - \ln \frac{n+1}{n} \right) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} - \ln N - \ln \frac{N+1}{N} \right)$$

and use definition (1).

To sum series (3), write it as the difference between the alternating harmonic series,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{1}{n} = 1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} - \dots = \ln 2, \quad (11)$$

and the logarithm of Wallis's product,

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{n+1}{n} \right)^{(-1)^{n-1}} = \frac{2}{1} \frac{2}{3} \frac{4}{3} \frac{4}{5} \frac{6}{5} \frac{6}{7} \dots = \frac{\pi}{2},$$

obtaining  $\ln 2 - \ln(\pi/2) = \ln(4/\pi)$ .

**3. EVALUATING THE INTEGRALS.** Denote integral (2) by  $I$  and expand the expression  $1/(1 - xy)$  in a geometric series:

$$I = \iint_{[0,1]^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1-x}{-\ln xy} (xy)^n dx dy = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \iint_{[0,1]^2} (1-x) \frac{(xy)^n}{-\ln xy} dx dy.$$

(To justify interchanging summation and integration, replace the series on the left with a finite sum plus remainder and estimate the remainder—see [16, sec. 2].) Substitute the generalization of (8)

$$-\frac{(xy)^n}{\ln xy} = \int_n^{\infty} (xy)^t dt$$

and reverse the order of integration (permitted because the integrand is nonnegative) to obtain

$$I = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \iint_{[0,1]^2} \int_n^{\infty} (1-x)(xy)^t dt dx dy = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_n^{\infty} \iint_{[0,1]^2} (x^t - x^{t+1}) y^t dx dy dt.$$

Replace  $n$  with  $n - 1$  and integrate, first with respect to  $x$  and  $y$ , then with respect to  $t$ , to arrive at series (2) for  $\gamma$ . (For a different proof, see the remark at the end of [18].)

The evaluation of integral (3) is similar. Since the expansion of  $1/(1 + xy)$  is an alternating series, the result is series (3) for  $\ln(4/\pi)$ .

**4. HADJICOSTAS'S FORMULA.** After seeing the integral formula (2) for  $\gamma$ , Hadjicostas conjectured a generalization [9], which Chapman then proved [5]:

$$\iint_{[0,1]^2} \frac{1-x}{1-xy} (-\ln xy)^s dx dy = \Gamma(s+2) \left[ \zeta(s+2) - \frac{1}{s+1} \right] \quad (\Re(s) > -2). \quad (12)$$

Here  $\Gamma$  is defined by Euler's integral [6],

$$\Gamma(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{s-1} dt \quad (\Re(s) > 0), \quad (13)$$

and  $\zeta$  is the analytic continuation of (5) (see, for example, [13] or [17]).

Taking the limit as  $s \rightarrow -1$  in (12) and using the fact that (see [14])

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \left( \zeta(s) - \frac{1}{s-1} \right) = \gamma, \quad (14)$$

we obtain (2). Conversely, (2) and (12) imply (14).

For  $s = 0$  and  $1$ , formula (12) is related to the integral representations (6) and (7) of  $\zeta(2)$  and  $\zeta(3)$ , respectively.

**5. AN ANALOG.** Hadjicostas asked if there is an analog of (12) for the integral formula (3) for  $\ln(4/\pi)$  [10]. The following result fills the bill and, as a bonus, yields (9).

**Theorem 1.** For complex  $s$  with  $\Re(s) > -3$ ,

$$\iint_{(0,1)^2} \frac{1-x}{1+xy} (-\ln xy)^s dx dy = \Gamma(s+2) \left[ \zeta^*(s+2) + \frac{1-2\zeta^*(s+1)}{s+1} \right], \quad (15)$$

where  $\zeta^*(s)$ , the alternating zeta function, is the analytic continuation of the convergent Dirichlet series

$$\zeta^*(s) = 1 - \frac{1}{2^s} + \frac{1}{3^s} - \dots \quad (\Re(s) > 0).$$

Here  $\Gamma(s)$  is analytically continued for  $\Re(s) > -1$  and  $s \neq 0$  by the functional equation (established by integrating by parts in (13))

$$\Gamma(s) = \frac{\Gamma(s+1)}{s}, \quad (16)$$

and  $\zeta^*(s)$  is an entire function related to  $\zeta(s)$  by the product (see [13] or [15])

$$\zeta^*(s) = (1 - 2^{1-s})\zeta(s). \quad (17)$$

*Proof of Theorem 1.* The integral in (15) defines a holomorphic function  $I(s)$  on the half-plane  $\Re(s) > -3$ . We prove (15) for  $\Re(s) > 0$  and the theorem then follows by analytic continuation.

We make the change of variables  $u = xy$ ,  $v = 1 - x$  and integrate with respect to  $v$ . We then substitute  $t = -\ln u$ , obtaining

$$I(s) = \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{t^{s+1} - 2t^s}{e^t + 1} + e^{-t} t^s \right) dt.$$

Using the evaluation

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{t^{s-1}}{e^t + 1} dt = \Gamma(s)\zeta^*(s) \quad (18)$$

(to prove (18)), write the denominator as  $e^t(1 + e^{-t})$  and expand  $1/(1 + e^{-t})$  in a geometric series, then integrate termwise via (13)), we get

$$I(s) = \Gamma(s + 2)\zeta^*(s + 2) + \Gamma(s + 1)[1 - 2\zeta^*(s + 1)].$$

Now (16) (with  $s + 1$  in place of  $s$ ) gives (15) and the theorem follows. ■

In order to show that Theorem 1 implies the integral formulas (3) and (9), we need the following values of the alternating zeta function and its derivative:  $\zeta^*(1) = \ln 2$  (from (11)),  $\zeta^*(0) = 1/2$ ,  $\zeta^*(-1) = 1/4$ , and  $\zeta^{*\prime}(0) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{\pi}{2}$  (see [13]). It follows that  $I(-1) = \zeta^*(1) - 2\zeta^{*\prime}(0)$ , which gives (3). Using (16) (with  $s + 2$  in place of  $s$ ), we deduce that  $I(-2) = \zeta^{*\prime}(0) + 2\zeta^*(-1) - 1 + 2\zeta^{*\prime}(-1)$ . Employing (17) and the formula  $\ln A = \frac{1}{12} - \zeta'(-1)$  for the Glaisher-Kinkelin constant (see [8, sec. 2.15]), we arrive at (9).

## REFERENCES

1. M. Abramowitz and I. Stegun, eds., *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*, Dover, New York, 1965.
2. F. Beukers, A note on the irrationality of  $\zeta(2)$  and  $\zeta(3)$ , *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **11** (1979) 268–272.
3. G. Boros and V. Moll, *Irresistible Integrals: Symbolics, Analysis, and Experiments in the Evaluation of Integrals*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
4. J. Borwein and P. Borwein, *Pi and the AGM*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1987.
5. R. Chapman, A proof of Hadjicostas's conjecture (2004, preprint); available at <http://arXiv.org/abs/math/0405478>.
6. P. Davis, Leonhard Euler's integral: A historical profile of the gamma function, this MONTHLY **66** (1959) 849–869.
7. W. Dunham, *Euler: The Master of Us All*, Dolciani Mathematical Expositions 22, Mathematical Association of America, Washington, D.C., 1999.
8. S. Finch, *Mathematical Constants*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
9. P. Hadjicostas, A conjecture-generalization of Sondow's formula (2004, preprint); available at <http://arXiv.org/abs/math/0405423>.
10. ———, personal communication, 30 May 2004.
11. J. Havil, *Gamma: Exploring Euler's Constant*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2003.
12. D. Huylebrouck, Similarities in irrationality proofs for  $\pi$ ,  $\ln 2$ ,  $\zeta(2)$  and  $\zeta(3)$ , this MONTHLY **108** (2001) 222–231.
13. J. Sondow, Analytic continuation of Riemann's zeta function and values at negative integers via Euler's transformation of series, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **120** (1994) 421–424.
14. ———, An antisymmetric formula for Euler's constant, *Math. Mag.* **71** (1998) 219–220.
15. ———, Zeros of the alternating zeta function on the line  $\Re(s) = 1$ , this MONTHLY **110** (2003) 435–437.
16. ———, Criteria for irrationality of Euler's constant, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **131** (2003) 3335–3344.
17. ———, A faster product for  $\pi$  and a new integral for  $\ln(\pi/2)$ , this MONTHLY (to appear); available at <http://arXiv.org/abs/math/0401406>.
18. ———, An infinite product for  $e^\gamma$  via hypergeometric formulas for Euler's constant,  $\gamma$  (2003, preprint); available at <http://arXiv.org/abs/math/0306008>.
19. J. J. Sylvester, *Collected Papers*, vol. 2, Chelsea, New York, 1973.
20. A. van der Poorten, A proof that Euler missed . . . Apéry's proof of the irrationality of  $\zeta(3)$ , *Math. Intelligencer* **1** (1979) 195–203.

209 West 97th Street, New York, NY 10025  
jsondow@alumni.princeton.edu