

A Simple Proof of the Formula $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$

Author(s): Ioannis Papadimitriou

Source: *The American Mathematical Monthly*, Vol. 80, No. 4 (Apr., 1973), pp. 424-425

Published by: Mathematical Association of America

Stable URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2319092>

Accessed: 09-03-2015 18:12 UTC

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at <http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp>

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



Mathematical Association of America is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to *The American Mathematical Monthly*.

<http://www.jstor.org>

Proof: Consider the identity $\sqrt{2^{(\sqrt{2}+1)}} = (\sqrt{2^{\sqrt{2}}}) \sqrt{2}$.

If $\sqrt{2^{\sqrt{2}}}$ is irrational then we are finished. If not, then $\sqrt{2^{\sqrt{2}}}$ is rational. Hence $(\sqrt{2^{\sqrt{2}}})\sqrt{2}$ is irrational, and $\sqrt{2^{(\sqrt{2}+1)}}$ is the example in this case.

There is also a simple identity by means of which it can be proved that a rational number raised to an irrational power may be irrational. But perhaps the reader would enjoy finding this one himself.

References

1. R. Kuzmin, On a new class of transcendental numbers, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat.*, 7 (1930) 585–597.
2. *Mathematics Magazine*, 39(1966) 111, 134.
3. *Scripta Mathematica*, 19 (1953) 229.

A SIMPLE PROOF OF THE FORMULA $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-2} = \pi^2/6$

IOANNIS PAPADIMITRIOU, Athens, Greece

Start with the inequality $\sin x < x < \tan x$ for $0 < x < \pi/2$, take reciprocals, and square each member to obtain

$$\cot^2 x < 1/x^2 < 1 + \cot^2 x.$$

Now put $x = k\pi/(2m + 1)$ where k and m are integers, $1 \leq k \leq m$, and sum on k to obtain

$$(1) \quad \sum_{k=1}^m \cot^2 \frac{k\pi}{2m+1} < \frac{(2m+1)^2}{\pi^2} \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{k^2} < m + \sum_{k=1}^m \cot^2 \frac{k\pi}{2m+1}.$$

But since we have

$$(2) \quad \sum_{k=1}^m \cot^2 \frac{k\pi}{2m+1} = \frac{m(2m-1)}{3},$$

(a proof of (2) is given below) relation (1) gives us

$$\frac{m(2m-1)}{3} < \frac{(2m+1)^2}{\pi^2} \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{k^2} < m + \frac{m(2m-1)}{3}.$$

Multiply this relation by $\pi^2/(4m^2)$ and let $m \rightarrow \infty$ to obtain

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{k^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}.$$

Proof of (2). By equating imaginary parts in the formula

$$\cos n\theta + i \sin n\theta = (\cos \theta + i \sin \theta)^n = \sin^n \theta (\cot \theta + i)^n$$

$$= \sin^n \theta \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} i^k \cot^{n-k} \theta,$$

we obtain the trigonometric identity

$$\sin n\theta = \sin^n \theta \left\{ \binom{n}{1} \cot^{n-1} \theta - \binom{n}{3} \cot^{n-3} \theta + \binom{n}{5} \cot^{n-5} \theta - + \dots \right\}.$$

Take $n = 2m + 1$ and write this in the form

$$(3) \quad \sin(2m + 1)\theta = \sin^{2m+1} \theta P_m(\cot^2 \theta) \text{ with } 0 < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2},$$

where P_m is the polynomial of degree m given by

$$P_m(x) = \binom{2m+1}{1} x^m - \binom{2m+1}{3} x^{m-1} + \binom{2m+1}{5} x^{m-2} - + \dots.$$

Since $\sin \theta \neq 0$ for $0 < \theta < \pi/2$, equation (3) shows that $P_m(\cot^2 \theta) = 0$ if and only if $(2m + 1)\theta = k\pi$ for some integer k . Therefore $P_m(x)$ vanishes at the m distinct points $x_k = \cot^2 \pi k / (2m + 1)$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$. These are all the zeros of $P_m(x)$ and their sum is

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \cot^2 \frac{\pi k}{2m+1} = \binom{2m+1}{3} / \binom{2m+1}{1} = \frac{m(2m-1)}{3},$$

which proves (2).

NOTE. This paper was translated from a Greek manuscript and communicated to the MONTHLY on behalf of the author by Tom M. Apostol, California Institute of Technology. After this paper was written it was learned that the same proof was discovered independently and published in Norwegian by Finn Holme in *Nordisk Matematisk Tidsskrift*, vol. 18 (1970), pp. 91-92. See also A. M. Yaglom and I. M. Yaglom, *Challenging mathematical problems with elementary solutions*, vol. II, Holden-Day, San Francisco, 1967, problem 145.

ANOTHER ELEMENTARY PROOF OF EULER'S FORMULA FOR $\zeta(2n)$

TOM M. APOSTOL, California Institute of Technology

1. Introduction. The classic formula

$$(1) \quad \zeta(2n) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{2n}} = (-1)^{n-1} \frac{(2\pi)^{2n} B_{2n}}{2(2n)!}$$

which expresses $\zeta(2n)$ as a rational multiple of π^{2n} was discovered by Euler [2]. The numbers B_n are Bernoulli numbers and can be defined by the recursion formula

$$B_0 = 1, \quad B_n = -\sum_{s=0}^n \binom{n}{s} B_s \text{ for } n \geq 2,$$

or equivalently, as the coefficients in the power series expansion